



Review Article

Evaluation of safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of Eltrombopag in patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Abdullah Mohammed Al-Dhuraibi^{1,2}, Ahmad Khalaf Alkhawaldeh³, Wadah Mohammed Al-Dhuraibi⁴, Anna A. Peresypkina²

1 Aden University, Aden, 6312 Yemen

2 Belgorod State National Research University, 85 Pobedy St., Belgorod, 308015 Russia 3 Zarqa University College, Al-Balqa Applied University, Al-Salt 19117 Jordan 4 Abian University Abian Yomon

4 Abian University, Abian, Yemen

Corresponding author: Abdullah Mohammed Al-Dhuraibi (aldhuraibi2019@gmail.com)

Academic editor: Mikhail Korokin • Received 17 January 2023 • Accepted 05 June 2023 • Published 30 June 2023

Citation: Al-Dhuraibi AM, Alkhawaldeh AK, Al-Dhuraibi WM, Peresypkina AA (2023) Evaluation of safety, efficacy and pharmacokinetics of eltrombopag in patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia: Meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Research Results in Pharmacology 9(2): 105–113. https://10.18413/rrpharmacology.9.10032

Abstract

Introduction: Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is a complex autoimmune syndrome associated with low platelet count. Eltrombopag is an oral thrombopoietin receptor agonist that used in the treatment of chronic ITP. **The aim of the study**: The present meta-analysis is to evaluate the safety and efficiency of Eltrombopag in the prevention and therapy of ITP.

Materials and Methods: The analysis was performed according to the PRISMA guideline with use of Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) as well as Web of Science and the Cochrane (CENTAL) databases.

Results: Seven randomized controlled trials (N=766 patients) were included in the final analysis. Overall platelet response was significantly higher in the Eltrombopag group than in placebo (RR=3.90; 95%CI [2.89-5.25]; P<0.00001) showing mild heterogeneity (I²=45%). Incidences of significant bleeding events in Eltrombopag group (World Health Organization [WHO] grades II-IV) (RR=0.63; 95% CI: [0.47-0.85]; P=0.003) showed lower heterogeneity (I²=18%) in comparison to placebo group. Cases of use of rescue medications in Eltrombopag group compared to placebo group (RR=0.40; 95% CI: [0.29- 0.55]; P<0.00001) in all considered studies showed low heterogeneity (I²=41 %; P=0.16). Incidences of any bleeding in Eltrombopag group compared to placebo group (RR=0.77; 95% CI: [0.70-0.86]; P<0.00001; I²=65%) showed substantial heterogeneity. Finally, subgroup analysis of Eltrombopag efficiency revealed significant difference in frequency of bleeding cases between adults (RR=0.84) and children (RR=0.51); (P=0.005).

Conclusion: This systematic review presents class one evidence suggesting Eltrombopag as safe and effective drug for therapy of both children and adult patients with ITP.

Copyright Al-Dhuraibi AM et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Graphical abstract:

	Eltromb	opag	Conti	lo		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
6.1.1 Headache								A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR
Bussel et al 2015	0	0	0	0		Not estimable	_	
Yang et al 2016	3	104	0	51	0.8%	3.47 [0.18, 65.87]	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Tomiyama et al 2012	1	15	1	8	1.6%	0.53 [0.04, 7.44]		
Grainger et al 2015	6	63	3	29	5.0%	0.92 [0.25, 3.43]	· · · · ·	
Bussel et al 2009	6	76	4	38	6.5%	0.75 [0.23, 2.50]		
Bussel et al 2007	13	44	6	21	9.9%	1.03 [0.46, 2.34]		
Chenge et al 2011 Subtotal (95% CI)	40	135 437	20	61 208	33.7% 57.6%	0.90 [0.58, 1.41] 0.94 [0.66, 1.33]	‡	
Total events	69		34					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1	.15, df = 5	(P = 0.9)	()5); $ ^2 = 0$	%				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.37 (P	= 0.71)						
6.1.2 Diarrhea								
Tomiyama et al 2012	0	0	0	0		Not estimable		
Bussel et al 2015	0	0	0	0		Not estimable		
Grainger et al 2015	3	63	0	29	0.8%	3.28 [0.17.61.53]		
Bussel et al 2009	4	76	1	38	1.6%	2.00 [0.23, 17,28]	· · · · · ·	
Bussel et al 2007	1	4	2	7	1.8%	0.88 (0.11, 6.88)		
Chenge et al 2011	17	135	6	61	10.1%	1.28 [0.53, 3.09]		
Yang et al 2016	11	104	8	51	13.1%	0.67 [0.29, 1.57]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		382	0.22	186	21.5%	1.07 [0.62, 1.84]	—	
I otal events	36		17					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	2.22, df = 4	(P = 0.7	$(0); I^2 = 0$	%				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.24 (P	= 0.81)						
6.1.3 Upper respirator	ry tract infe	ection						
Tomiyama et al 2012	0	0	0	0		Not estimable		
Russel et al 2007	ő	ő	ő	0		Not estimable		
Bussel et al 2015	0	ő	0	0		Not estimable		
Grainger et al 2015	1	63	ő	29	0.8%	1 41 10 06 33 521		
Bussel et al 2009	0	76	1	38	2.4%	0 17 [0 01 4 05]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Yang et al 2016	7	104	2	51	3.3%	1 72 [0 37 7 97]		
Chenge et al 2011	7	135	5	61	8.4%	0.63 [0.21, 1.91]		
Subtotal (95% CI)		378		179	15.0%	0.84 [0.38, 1.85]	-	
Total events	15		8					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 2	16 df = 3	(P = 0.5)	$(4) \cdot ^2 = 0$	%				
Test for overall effect: 2	z = 0.44 (P	= 0.66)						
Total (95% CI)		1197		573	100.0%	0.96 [0.72, 1.27]	•	
Total events	120		59					
Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 5	69, df = 14	4(P = 0)	.97); l ² =	0%				
Test for overall effect: 2	Z = 0.30 (P)	= 0.76)				2	0.01 0.1 1 10 100	
Test for subgroup differ	rences: Chi	$^{2} = 0.28$, df = 2 (F	= 0.8	7), l ² = 0%	r r	avours (Enromoopagi) Pavours (Placebo)	
Risk of bias legend			20000 2003		100 000			
(A) Random sequence	generation	(selecti	on bias)					
(B) Allocation concealn	nent (select	tion bias)					
(C) Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)								
(D) Blinding of outcome	assessme	ent (dete	ction bia	s)				
(E) Incomplete outcome	e data (attri	tion bias	s)	S				
(E) Selective reporting	(reporting h	ias)	.,					
(G) Other bias	Porting o							
7-7								

Keywords

Eltrombopag, immune thrombocytopenia, safety and efficacy, thrombopoietin agonists, meta-analysis

Introduction

Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is a complex autoimmune disorder characterized by a dramatic decrease in platelet count. While antibody and/or T cellmediated platelet destruction are essential processes, ITP's pathogenesis remains uncertain. First-line therapies are mostly oriented to prevent autoantibodies production and subsequent platelet loss, whereas second-line therapies include immunosuppressive medicines. Finally, third-line therapies seek to promote the production of platelets (Blickstein 2019). Prevalence of ITP in all population is estimated to be 2 to 5 per 100,000 people. According to recent guidelines, newly diagnosed ITP patients with a platelet count of less than 30×10⁹/L are recommended for treatment with use of thrombopoietin-

receptor agonist (TPO-RAs) as second-line therapy. Rituximab is reserved as a third-line agent for patients who have failed a TPO-RA (Neunert et al. 2019). ITP therapy aims to avoid bleeding and maintain a platelet count consistent with optimal hemostasis, rather than a regular platelet count (Ahmed et al. 2020). Eltrombopag is oral TPO-RAs that is approved for the treatment of ITP (Agarwal and Mangla 2021). It induces platelet production by binding to the transmembrane domain of the thrombopoietin receptor and causing megakaryocyte proliferation and differentiation (Bhat et al. 2018; Levchenkova et al. 2023). It has recently been used without serious side effects for thrombocytopenia. This offers an interesting opportunity for more studies to evaluate both effectiveness and safety of Eltrombopag (Nampoothiri and Kumar 2020).

Material and Methods

The current meta-analysis was designed to comply with the PRISMA guideline (Page et al. 2021).

Databases

To identify specific randomized controlled trials (RCT) studies of safety and efficacy of Eltrombopag in both adults and children with chronic ITP, we used the following databases: PubMed/Medline, the Web of Science, the Excerpta Medica Database (EMBASE) and the Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials (CENTAL) from September 2019 to March 2021. The following search queries or Medical Topic Headings (Mesh) were used in the PubMed search strategy: (("Eltrombopag" [Mesh]) AND "Thrombocytopenia" [Supplementary Concept]) OR ("Idiopathic, Purpura, Thrombocytopenic," [Mesh]) AND "Eltrombopag" Some filters were used to restrict PubMed. Finally, a search in Google Scholar was used in manual mode to identify additional trials and relevant studies.

Study selection

Three authors thoroughly checked the title and abstract of the retrieved studies, screening for eligible studies to conduct a meta-analysis based on screening of full text and extraction of data according to the inclusion criteria, prepared review and editing of methodology. Any queries were arbitrated by fully discussing with the third and second senior's reviewers to get final arbitration. The included RCTs were to meet the following inclusion criteria:

- 1. Studies which examined the efficacy of Eltrombopag in chronic ITP;
- 2. Studies with adequate data reliable to be pooled in a meta-analysis;
- 3. The study design was RCT;
- 4. The studies included adults or children patients suffering from chronic ITP and have platelet count $<30\times10^9/L$.

Studies were excluded for the following reasons: studies lacking enough detailed information, studies written in a language other than English. Thesis or conference papers were also excluded.

Data extraction

Three authors extracted the data using a standard data extraction form. The extracted study elements from each study included: first author's name, study design, total number of participants, their mean age, exposure's doses, baseline platelet count, duration of follow-up, study outcomes: overall platelet response, incidence of significant bleeding (World Health Organization [WHO] grades II-IV), and incidence of any bleeding, number of cases needed for rescue treatment.

Quality assessment

To protect the study results from bias, we used Cochrane risk of bias assessment scale to assess the quality of methodology of each RCT (Farrah et al. 2019). The quality assessment included the following elements: method for 1) random sequence generation (selection bias), 2) allocation sequence concealment (selection bias), 3) number of patients and personnel (performance bias), 4) blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias), 5) incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), 6) selective outcome reporting (reporting bias) and 7) other bias.

Statistical analysis

Herein, we calculated the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) as described in the Cochrane Handbook. Dichotomous results were pooled in a fixed-effect model as relative risk (RR) by using Mantel-Haenszel (M-H) method; the fixed-effect model was used on the basis that the RCTs included are similar in terms of study design, quality evaluation and treatment effect calculation (Etminan et al. 2020). Data processing was performed using Check Manager 5.3 for Windows.

Statistical heterogeneity of treatment effect among trials was assessed using the I² and X² statistic. The X² was used to test the existence of significant heterogeneity, I² represents the variability in effect estimates that is not attributed to chance or random error. I² test was interpreted according to the recommendations of Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews and meta-analysis, results ranging from 0 to 100% and values of 0–40%, 30–60%, 50–90%, 75–100% reflecting low, moderate, substantial and considerable levels of heterogeneity, respectively (Koletsi et al. 2018). In case of significant heterogeneity (X²; P<0.1), a random effect model was used to evaluate the reasons. Otherwise, a fixed-effect model was applied and P-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Sensitivity analyses were carried out to assess the effects of selected measures of study designs, to make sure that no single study is affecting the results, and to test whether the total effect size is statistically robust. We performed sensitivity analysis excluding one study in each scenario. All p-values were considered statistically significant when <0.05.

Publication Bias

Herein, we included fewer than 10-pooled RCTs studies in this meta-analysis; therefore, we could not assess the potential publication bias by Egger test for funnel plot asymmetry (Furuya-Kanamori et al. 2018).

Results

Literature search and study selection

The process of study selection is summarized in the PRISMA flow diagram as shown in Figure 1. After a comprehensive web search, we initially retrieved 937 relevant records, 563 of which were duplicates. After screening the title and abstract on the basis of inclusion/ exclusion criteria, 534 records were excluded. Further screening of 29 full-text articles was assessed for eligibility, 22 articles were excluded: irrelevant (n=10), no outcome of interest (n=1), other language (n=2), not RCT (n=5) or review articles (n=4), and the remaining 7 RCTs with (766 patients in sum) were included in this meta-analysis (Fig. 1).

Study characteristics and quality assessment

According to the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool, one of include RCTs were judged to have from a moderate to high risk of bias. Sequence generation and allocation concealment were reported adequately in most studies.



Figure 1. The PRISMA flow diagram of studies' screening and selection.

Seven RCTs were reported as double-blinded. Summary of quality assessment domains of the included studies is shown in Figure 2 and in Table 1.

Assessment of the efficacy of Eltrombopag

Seven RCTs were included in the meta-analysis for assessment of the pooled effect estimate in terms of platelet response after administration of Eltrombopag, the results showing a statistical significant increase in platelet counts among total (n=766 patients) with chronic ITP compared with placebo (RR=3.90; 95%CI [2.89-5.25]; P < 0.00001), moderate heterogeneity (I²=45%) (Fig. 3).



Figure 2. Risk of bias overview and risk of bias graph based on the Cochrane Risk of Bias evaluation tool.



(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)

(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Figure 3. Forest plots (A) of relative risk for effectiveness tests. The overall response of the platelet. CI indicates confidence intervals; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; RR, relative risk.

Eltrombopag use and the incidence of significant bleeding

For assessment of the association of Eltrombopag administration and improvement of the incidence of bleeding events compared with controls, four RCTs were

included in this meta-analysis, the pooled results show no significant reduction in the incidence of bleeding events among the Eltrombopag users compared with controls (WHO grades II-IV; (RR=0.63; 95% CI: [0.47-0.85]; P=0.003), reported low heterogeneity I²=18%) (Fig. 4).

	Eltromb	opag	Contr	ol		Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
Bussel et al 2015	4	45	7	22	14.4%	0.28 [0.09, 0.85]		
Chenge et al 2011	44	135	33	62	69.1%	0.61 [0.44, 0.86]		
Grainger et al 2015	3	63	2	29	4.2%	0.69 [0.12, 3.91]		
Yang et al 2016	14	104	6	50	12.4%	1.12 [0.46, 2.75]		
Total (95% CI)		347		163	100.0%	0.63 [0.47, 0.85]	•	
Total events	65		48				200-5	
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =	3.67, df = 3	B(P = 0.)	30); I ² = 1	8%				1
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.99 (F	P = 0.00	3)			Fa	avours [Eltrombopag] Favours [Placebo]	00
Risk of bias legend								
(A) Random sequence	e generatio	n (selec	tion bias)					
(B) Allocation conceal	ment (seled	ction bia	s)					
(C) Blinding of particip	ants and pe	ersonne	l (perform	nance b	ias)			
(D) Blinding of outcom	e assessm	ent (det	ection bia	s)				

Figure 4. Forest plots (B), Incidence of significant bleeding. CI indicates confidence interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; RR, relative risk.

Number of Eltrombopag users required rescue medications

(E) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)(F) Selective reporting (reporting bias)

(G) Other bias

Herein, we analyzed four studies; the pooled results of which indicated that there was a significant association between Eltrombopag administration and a decreased number of patients requiring rescue medications compared to placebo group (RR=0.40; 95% CI: [0.29-0.55]; P<0.00001). Pooled studies showed low heterogeneity (I²=41 %; P=0.16) (Fig. 5).

This meta-analysis reports a significant reduction in the incidence of any bleeding among Eltrombopag treated patients in comparison with placebo group (RR=0.77; 95% CI: [0.70-0.86]; P<0.00001; I²=65%) (Fig. 6).



(G) Other bias

Figure 5. Forest plots (C), Number of cases needed rescue treatment. CI indicates confidence interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; RR, relative risk.



(G) Other bias

Figure 6. Forest plots (D), incidence of any bleeding. CI indicates confidence interval; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; RR, relative risk.

	Eltrombopag Control Risk Ratio Risk Rat udy or Subgroup Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% Cl M-H, Random,			Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio	Risk of Bias		
Study or Subgroup			M-H, Random, 95% Cl	95% CI A B C D E F G				
5.1.1 Adult								
Bussel et al 2007	8	82	4	27	3.0%	0.66 [0.22, 2.02]	· · · · ·	
Bussel et al 2009	46	76	30	38	21.7%	0.77 [0.60, 0.98]	-	
Yang et al 2016	68	104	37	50	23.3%	0.88 [0.71, 1.10]	3 -	
Chenge et al 2011 Subtotal (95% CI)	106	135 397	56	62 177	28.5% 76.5%	0.87 [0.77, 0.98]		
Total events	228		127					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00: Chi2	= 1.21.0	df = 3 (P)	= 0.75)	$1^2 = 0\%$			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.23 (F	e = 0.00	1)	00,				
5.1.2 Childern								
Bussel et al 2015	14	45	18	22	11.5%	0.38 [0.24, 0.61]		
Grainger et al 2015 Subtotal (95% CI)	23	63 108	16	29 51	12.0% 23.5%	0.66 [0.42, 1.05]	•	
Total events	37		34			Construction of the Construction	1.2	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.10; Chi2	= 2.68, 0	df = 1 (P :	= 0.10)	l² = 63%			
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.47 (F	P = 0.01))	860.73B				
Total (95% CI)		505		228	100.0%	0.74 [0.60, 0.91]	•	
Total events	265		161					
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.03; Chi2	= 14.14.	df = 5 (P	= 0.01); l ² = 65%	F		
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.89 (F	= 0.004	4)			0. Four	01 0.1 1 10	100
Test for subgroup diffe	erences: Ch	ni² = 3.52	2, df = 1 (P = 0.0	6), l ² = 71.	6%	ouis [Enromoopag] Pavouis [Plac	ebol
Risk of bias legend			0.000.000.000					
(A) Random sequence	e generation	n (select	tion bias)					
(B) Allocation conceal	ment (seled	tion bia	s)					
(C) Blinding of particip	ants and pe	ersonnel	l (perform	iance b	ias)			
(D) Blinding of outcom	e assessm	ent (det	ection bia	s)				
(E) Incomplete outcom	ne data (att	rition bia	is)					
(F) Selective reporting	(reporting	bias)						
(G) Other bias								

Figure 7. Forest plots (F) of subgroup analysis with 95 % confidence intervals for the incidence of any bleeding. Confidence interval is indicated in CI; M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; RR, relative risk.

Heterogeneity was ideally treated by removing Bussel et al. 2015 study that recruited children with chronic ITP ($I^2=0$; P=0.63). Subgroup analysis of Eltrombopag efficacy and incidence of any bleeding were analyzed among children in 2 studies and adult – in 4 studies. Population with chronic ITP

as shown in Figure 7, the results showed a non-significant difference in Eltrombopag efficacy between the two groups. However, there was a significant difference in the incidence of any bleeding between Eltrombopag adult users (RR=0.84) and children (RR=0.51) users; (I²=87.2% P=0.005).

Table 1. Summary of included studies

Study ID/ Ref	Design	Population	Dose	Sample Size	Follow Up	Results
(Bussel et al. 2007)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Adults with relapsed or refractory chronic ITP	30,50 and 75 mg/ day	118	6 weeks	In patients with relapsed or refractory ITPP, Eltrombopag showed improved platelet counts in a dose-dependent manner
(Bussel et al. 2009)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Adults with previously treated chronic ITP who were naive to thrombopoietic agents	50 mg/day	114	6 weeks	Eltrombopag tends to be efficient in chronic ITP control, with good tolerability
(Bussel et al. 2015)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Children (1-17 years) old with persistent or chronic ITP	25 to 50 mg/day	67	7 weeks	Eltrombopag can be used in children with recurrent or chronic ITP as an effective therapy. The prevalence of increased laboratory liver values was equivalent to that seen in adults.
(Cheng et al. 2011	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Adults with chronic ITP	50 mg/day	197	24 weeks	In particular, Eltrombopag proved to be effective in treating chronic ITP in patients who do not respond to splenectomy or prior care. Drug toxicity, however, can restrict its use.
(Grainger et al. 2015)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Children (1-17 years) old with chronic ITP	25 to 50 mg/day	92	13 weeks	Eltrombopag is an effective medication choice with no new safety issues for children with chronic symptomatic ITP.
(Tomiyama et al. 2012)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Adults with previously treated chronic ITP	12.5 to 50 mg/day	23	6 weeks	Eltrombopag (12.5-50 mg) is effective in the therapy of chronic ITP patients in Japan
(Yang et al. 2017)	Multicenter, Double- blind, RCT	Chinese adults aged ≥18 years previously treated for chronic ITP	25–75 mg/ day	155	8 weeks	In summary, Eltrombopag 25 mg once daily in Chinese patients with chronic ITP has increased platelet counts to a safe range and decreased bleeding.

Note: ITP - immune thrombocytopenia; RCT - randomized controlled trial.

Another adverse effects

There was no any major difference in the overall number of adverse effects reported in both groups; the incidence of adverse effects was not higher in the Eltrombopag group compared with placebo group. The pooled RR for adverse events was as follows: severe adverse events (RR=0.96; 95% CI [0.72-1.27]; P=0.76); headache (RR=0.94; 95% CI [0.66, 1.33]; P=0.71), diarrhea (RR=1.07; 95% CI [0.62-1.48]; P=0.81); and abdominal pain (RR=0.84; 95% CI [0.38-1.85]; P=0.66) for all effect estimate of adverse events were not heterogeneous (X²; P>0.1).

Sensitivity analysis

For all efficacy outcomes, the superiority of Eltrombopag remains significant after excluding one study at the time (data not shown).

Discussion

This systematic study, including a direct-comparison meta-analysis, summarizes the effectiveness and safety of Eltrombopag in adults and children with ITP. Our study indicates that Eltrombopag drug can increase the longlasting and overall response of platelets and decrease the use of rescue drugs without increasing the frequency of adverse effects compared to placebo.

Furthermore, an observational retrospective study involving (n=766 patients) with ITP concluded that the clinical outcomes reported that increase platelet count

Table 2. Basic characters of the studies included

with patients used Eltrombopag in comparable with Placebo (RR=3.90; 95%CI [2.89-5.25]; P<0.00001 (Fig. 3).

The pooled results show no significant reduction in the incidence of bleeding events among the Eltrombopag users compared with controls (WHO grades II-IV; (RR=0.63; 95% CI: [0.47- 0.85]; P=0.003), reporting low heterogeneity $I^2 = 18\%$) (Fig. 4).

Herein, we analyzed four studies; the pooled results of which indicated that there was a significant association between Eltrombopag administration and a decreased number of patients requiring rescue medications compared to placebo group (RR=0.40; 95% CI: [0.29-0.55]; P<0.00001). Pooled studies showed low heterogeneity (I2=41 %; P=0.16) (Fig. 5).

This meta-analysis reported a significant reduction in the incidence of any bleeding among Eltrombopag treated patients over placebo group (RR=0.77; 95% CI: [0.70-0.86]; P<0.00001; I²=65%), and showed substantial heterogeneity (Fig. 6).

The Eltrombopag efficacy and incidence of any bleeding in subgroup study was evaluated between children (2 studies) and adults (4 studies) with chronic ITP, as shown in Figure 6. The results showed a non-significant difference in the efficacy of Eltrombopag between the two groups, but there was a significant difference in the incidence of any bleeding events between adult (RR=0.84) and children (RR=0.51) users, thus pooled studies were homogeneous (I²=87.2 % P=0.005). Subgroup study of the meta-analyzed data referred to in Table 2.

Study ID/Ref	Group	Male, N (%)	Age, Median (Range)	Weight, Median (Range)	Prior Therapy 2, N (%)	Splenec- tomy, N (%)	Baseline Platelet Count (10 ⁹ per L), Median (IQR)	Platelets 15000/mm ³ ; N (%)
(Bussel et al. 2007)	Placebo	13 (45)	42 (18-85)	NA	21 (72)	14 (48)	NA	14 (48)
	Eltrombopag 30 mg	14 (47)	51 (23-79)	NA	26 (87)	15 (50)	NA	15 (50)
	Eltrombopag 50 mg	9 (30)	45 (23-81)	NA	24 (80)	15 (50	NA	12 (40)
	Eltrombopag 75 mg	8 (29)	55 (18-85)	NA	16 (57)	11 (39)	NA	15 (54)
(Cheng et al. 2011)	Placebo	19 (30.6)	52.5 (43-63)	NA	50 (81)	21 (34)	16000 (9000-24000)	30 (49)
	Eltrombopag	42 (31)	47 (34-56)	NA	105 (78)	50 (37)	16000 (8000-22000)	67 (50)
(Bussel et al. 2009)	Placebo	11 (29)	48 (16) ^a	NA	26 (68)	14 (37)	NA	17 (45)
	Eltrombopag	33 (43)	51 (17) ^a	NA	56 (74)	31 (41)	NA	38 (50)
(Bussel et al. 2015)	Placebo	9 (41)	10 (8-12)	43 (33-53)	19 (86)	0	12.4 (8.8) ^a	11 (50)
	Eltrombopag	18 (40)	9 (8-10)	39 (34-45)	38 (84)	5 (11)	15.5 (8.0) ^a	23 (51)
(Tomiya ma et al. 2012)	Placebo	1 (13)	60.5 (38-72)	57.48+6.613ª		5 (63)	9500 (7500-19000)	6 (75)
	Eltrombopag	7 (47)	58.0 (26-72)	61.68+ 10.390ª		11 (73)	21000 (16000-25000)	3 (20)
(Grainger et al. 2015)	Placebo	15 (52)	9.8 (8.3-11.3) ^a	42.7 (33.2-52.3) ^a	26 (90)	0	NA	19 (66)
	Eltrombopag	33 (52)	9.4 (8.2-10.5) ^a	41 (35.5-46.4) ^a	46 (73)	4(6)	NA	38 (60)
(Yang et al. 2017)	Placebo	11 (21.6)	42 (22–66)	62 (42–92)	10 (19.6)	7 (13.7)	28 (54.9)	13 (5)
	Eltrombopag	27 (26.0)	48 (18–84)	62 (44–96)	19 (18.3)	18 (17.3)	54 (51.9)	14 (0)

The meta-analysis of the RCTs and systematic review concluded that these TPO-RAs had an increased risk of thrombotic events comparable with standard medication or placebo. This review has some limitations. We only included RCTs in this analysis; the findings may not have a strong generalization for strict systematic review and a limited sample size in those trials.

Conclusion

This systematic review suggests that Eltrombopag is a safe and efficient drug for the treatment of both children and young adult patients with ITP.

Conflict of Interests

No conflict of interests are reported by the authors.

References

- Agarwal N, Mangla A (2021) Thrombopoietin receptor agonist for treatment of immune thrombocytopenia in pregnancy: A narrative review. Therapeutic Advances in Hematology 12: 20406207211001140. https://doi.org/10.1177/20406207211001139 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Ahmed M, Yassin MA, Abdelmahmuod E (2020) Steroid-refractory chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura responding to combination therapy with eltrombopag and rituximab. Cureus 12(9): e10305. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.10305 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Bhat FA, Advani J, Khan AA, Mohan S, Pal A, Gowda H, Chakrabarti P, Keshava Prasad TS, Chatterjee A (2018) A network map of thrombopoietin signaling. Journal of Cell Communication and Signaling, 12(4): 737–743. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12079-018-0480-4 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Blickstein D (2019) Treatment of immune thrombocytopenic purpura in adults: Update. Harefuah 158(3): 196–199. [in Hebrew] [PubMed]
- Bussel JB, Cheng G, Saleh MN, Psaila B, Kovaleva L, Meddeb B, Kloczko J, Hassani H, Mayer B, Stone NL, Arning M, Provan D, Jenkins JM (2007) Eltrombopag for the treatment of chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. New England Journal of Medicine 357(22): 2237–2247. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa073275 [PubMed]
- Bussel JB, de Miguel PG, Despotovic JM, Grainger JD, Sevilla J, Blanchette VS, Krishnamurti L, Connor P, David M, Boayue KB, Matthews DC, Lambert MP, Marcello LM, Iyengar M, Chan GW, Chagin KD, Theodore D, Bailey CK, Bakshi KK (2015) Eltrombopag for the treatment of children with persistent and chronic immune thrombocytopenia (PETIT): A randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled study. The Lancet Haematology 2(8): e315-e325. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2352-3026(15)00114-3 [PubMed]
- Bussel JB, Provan D, Shamsi T, Cheng G, Psaila B, Kovaleva L, Salama A, Jenkins JM, Roychowdhury D, Mayer B, Stone N, Arning M (2009) Effect of eltrombopag on platelet counts and bleeding during treatment of chronic idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet 373(9664): 641-648. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(09)60402-5 [PubMed]
- Cheng G, Saleh MN, Marcher C, Vasey S, Mayer B, Aivado M, Arning M, Stone NL, Bussel JB (2011) Eltrombopag for management of chronic immune thrombocytopenia (RAISE): A 6month, randomised, phase 3 study. The Lancet 377(9763): 393–402. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60959-2 [PubMed]
- Etminan M, Collins GS, Mansournia MA (2020) Using causal diagrams to improve the design and interpretation of medical research. Chest 158(1): S21–S28. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.chest.2020.03.011 [PubMed]
- Farrah K, Young K, Tunis MC, Zhao L (2019) Risk of bias tools in systematic reviews of health interventions: An analysis of PROSPERO-registered protocols. Systematic Reviews 8(1): 280. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1172-8 [PubMed] [PMC]

Furuya-Kanamori L, Barendregt JJ, Doi SAR (2018) A new improved graphical and quantitative method for detecting bias in meta-analysis. International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare 16(4): 195–203. https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.000000000000141 [PubMed]

- Grainger JD, Locatelli F, Chotsampancharoen T, Donyush E, Pongtanakul B, Komvilaisak P, Sosothikul D, Drelichman G, Sirachainan N, Holzhauer S, Lebedev V, Lemons R, Pospisilova D, Ramenghi U, Bussel JB, Bakshi KK, Iyengar M, Chan GW, Chagin KD, Theodore D, Marcello LM, Bailey CK (2015) Eltrombopag for children with chronic immune thrombocytopenia (PETIT2): A randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled trial. The Lancet 386(10004): 1649–1658. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(15)61107-2 [PubMed]
- Koletsi D, Fleming PS, Michelaki I, Pandis N (2018) Heterogeneity in Cochrane and non-Cochrane meta-analyses in orthodontics. Journal of Dentistry 74: 90–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jdent.2018.05.003 [PubMed]
- Levchenkova OS, Vorobieva VV, Novikov VE, Legonkova TI, Volkova EN (2023) Thrombopoietin receptor agonists in pharmacotherapy of pediatric immune thrombocytopenia. Research Results in Pharmacology 9(1): 49–59. https://doi.org/10.18413/ rrpharmacology.9.10011
- Neunert C, Terrell DR, Arnold DM, Buchanan G, Cines DB, Cooper N, Cuker A, Despotovic JM, George JN, Grace RF, Kühne T, Kuter DJ, Lim W, McCrae KR, Pruitt B, Shimanek H, Vesely SK (2019) American Society of Hematology 2019 guidelines for immune thrombocytopenia. Blood Advances 3(23): 3829–3866. https://doi.org/10.1182/bloodadvances.2019000966 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology 134: 178–189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2021.03.001 [PubMed]
- Tomiyama Y, Miyakawa Y, Okamoto S, Katsutani S, Kimura A, Okoshi Y, Ninomiya H, Kosugi H, Nomura S, Ozaki K, Ikeda Y, Hattori T, Katsura K, Kanakura Y (2012) A lower starting dose of eltrombopag is efficacious in Japanese patients with previously treated chronic immune thrombocytopenia. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis 10(5): 799–806. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-7836.2012.04695.x [PubMed]
- Vasudeva Vasudevan Nampoothiri R, Kumar R (2020) Eltrombopag: Role in cytopenias following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Indian Journal of Hematology & Blood Transfusion 36(2): 238–245. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12288-019-01194-7 [PubMed] [PMC]
- Yang R, Li J, Jin J, Huang M, Yu Z, Xu X, Zhang X, Hou M (2017) Multicentre, randomised phase III study of the efficacy and safety of eltrombopag in Chinese patients with chronic immune thrombocytopenia. British Journal of Haematology 176(1): 101–110. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjh.14380 [PubMed]

Author Contributions

 Abdullah Mohammed AL-Dhuraibi, PhD., Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacology, Aden University, Aden, Yemen, Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical Institute, Belgorod National Research University, Russia, aldhuraibi2019@gmail.com, ORCID ID https://orcid.org/ 0000-0002-9044-243X. As the first author, contributed thoroughly checked the title, abstract of retrieved studies, literature search, study selection, data extraction, bias assessment, review writing, editing of methodology and statistical analysis.

- Ahmad Khalaf Alkawaldeh, Assistant PhD, Department of Medical Allied Sciences, Zarqa University Collage/Al-Balqa Applied University, Jordan, e-mail: Alkawaldeh@gmail.ru, ORCID ID https://orcid.org/ 0000-0003-2847-3427. Literature search, study selection, review writing, data extraction, and bias assessment.
- Wadah Mohammed AL-Dhuraibi, Researcher, Chemistry Department, Abian University, Abian, Yemen, e-mail: AL-Dhuraibiwadah@gmail.ru, ORCID ID https://orcid.org/0009-0003-8521-3442. Literature search and study selection.
- Anna A. Peresypkina, Professor. PhD., Department of Pharmacology and Clinical Pharmacology, Medical Institute, Belgorod National Research University, Russia, e-mail: peresypkina_a@bsu.edu.ru, ORCID ID https:// orcid.org/0000-0003-2829-9860. Resolve disagreement and critical appraisal