

Symmetry analysis of a model of option pricing and hedging

Sergey M. Sitnik ^{1*} , Khristofor V. Yadrikhinskiy ²  and Vladimir E. Fedorov ^{2,3} 

¹ Belgorod State National Research University (BelGU), Pobedy Street, 85, 308015 Belgorod, Russia; mathsms@yandex.ru

² North Eastern Federal University, Yakut Branch of Far Eastern Center for Mathematical Research, 58, Belinskiy St., Yakutsk 677000, Russia; ghdsfdf@yandex.ru

³ Chelyabinsk State University, Mathematical Analysis Department, 129, Kashirin Brothers St., Chelyabinsk 454001, Russia; kar@csu.ru

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Abstract: A model of Guéant and Pu of option pricing and hedging in the general form is studied by the group analysis methods. The infinite-dimensional continuous group of equivalence transforms of the model is found. It is applied to getting the group classification of the model under consideration. Optimal systems of subalgebras for some concrete models from the obtained classification are derived and used for the calculation of according invariant submodels.

Keywords: Guéant and Pu model; option pricing; symmetry analysis; symmetry group; Lie algebra; equivalence transformation; group classification; optimal system of subalgebras; invariant submodel

MSC: 37K30, 70G65

Citation: Sitnik, S.M.; Yadrikhinskiy, Kh.V.; Fedorov, V.E. Symmetry analysis of a model of option pricing and hedging. *Symmetry* **2022**, *1*, 0. <https://doi.org/>

Received:

Accepted:

Published:

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Submitted to *Symmetry* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

The classical Black — Scholes model [1,2] of the option pricing dynamics are based on the perfect market hypothesis. Under this hypothesis, there are no execution costs and market participants use only the prevailing market prices and cannot influence the prices by their operations. The Black — Scholes model gives useful results when the underlying asset is liquid and the transaction amount is not too large for the market. However, the perfect market hypothesis contradicts to the market practice in many aspects, what makes the classical model too limited in application.

Last decades many researchers actively studied changes in the classical Black — Scholes model, which would take into account the market illiquidity and the impact of transactions on prices. See works of Magill and Constantinides [3], Kyle [4], Leland [5], Cvitanic and Karatzas [6], Barles and Soner [7], Grossman [8], Platen and Schweizer [9], Sircar and Papanicolaou [10], Schönbucher and Wilmott [11], Bank and Baum [12], Çetin, Jarrow and Protter [13, Section 4], Çetin and Rogers [14, Section 6], Rogers and Singh [15]. New models proposed in these works have been investigated by many researchers both numerically and analytically. The work of Ibragimov and Gazizov [16] contains the first analytical investigation of the Black — Scholes equation by the group analysis methods [17,18]. Note the works of Bordag [19,20], of Dyshaev and Fedorov [21–27], where group properties of various nonlinear Black — Scholes type models were studied, their invariant solutions and submodels were calculated. In papers of Dyshaev and Fedorov group classifications for various classes of nonlinear Black — Scholes type models were obtained.

Guéant and Pu in [28,29] carried out the analysis of options pricing taking into account transaction costs and the impact of operations on the market under the next assumptions:

(1) the risk-free rate r , the absolute risk aversion parameter γ and the volatility σ are constant;

- 37 (2) the process of market trading volume V_t is deterministic, non-negative, and
 38 bounded;
 39 (3) there exists a maximum degree of participation ρ_m , i. e. processes v are such that
 40 $|v_t| \leq \rho_m V_t$ almost everywhere;
 41 (4) the number of shares in the hedged portfolio is $q_t = q_0 + \int_0^t v_s ds$;
 42 (5) the price process is modeled by the stochastic differential equation $dS_t = \mu dt +$
 43 σdW_t , where μ is the expected return of the underlying asset;
 44 (6) to model execution costs a continuous, non-negative, even, strictly convex
 45 function $L : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is used, which is increasing on \mathbb{R}_+ , $L(0) = 0$, and coercive, i.e.
 46 $\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} L(\rho)/\rho = +\infty$;
 47 (7) the dynamics of the account X is described by the equation $dX_t = rX_t dt -$
 48 $v_t S_t dt - V_t L(v_t/V_t) dt$.

As result, Guéant and Pu derived a differential equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + V_t H(\theta_q), \quad (1)$$

49 where $H(p) = \sup_{|\rho| \leq \rho_m} [p\rho - L(\rho)]$. It is a model of the dynamics of the indifference price
 50 $\theta(t, S, q)$ for a call option.

51 In [30], the group classification of the Guéant — Pu model (1) with a constant
 52 market trading volume V_t is obtained, and for all specifications of the free element
 53 H from the classification optimal systems of subalgebras of the Lie algebra is found,
 54 invariant solutions and submodels for subalgebras from the optimal systems are derived.

In the present paper the Guéant — Pu model

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F(t, \theta_q) \quad (2)$$

55 is investigated. Here a free element F depends on t and θ_q , i. e. the market trading
 56 volume V_t may depend on t , in contrast to the model, which is considered in [30]. In
 57 the second section the continuous group of equivalence transformations of equation (2)
 58 is calculated. It corresponds to an infinite-dimensional Lie algebra of the equation with
 59 three-dimensional finite part and with two basis operators, which coefficients are defined
 60 by two arbitrary functions of t and their derivatives. In the third section the search of the
 61 symmetry groups for general equation (2) started. The equivalence transformations are
 62 used in the fourth section for the search of the specifications of the free element F , such
 63 that $F_{\theta_q \theta_q} \neq 0$, which corresponds to equations of form (2) with different Lie algebras.
 64 The obtained theorem on group classification is formulated in the fifth section. In the last
 65 section optimal systems of subalgebras are found for the Lie algebra of model (2) with
 66 a general function $F(t, \theta_q)$ and with a specification $F = e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q)$, which were obtained
 67 in the group classification. For every subalgebra from the optimal system the invariant
 68 submodel of the Guéant — Pu model is calculated, if it exists.

69 2. Continuous Groups of Equivalence Transformations

Consider the Gueant — Pu equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F(t, \theta_q), \quad (3)$$

70 where $\theta = \theta(t, S, q)$, $F(t, \theta_q)$ is a free element. Assume that $r\gamma\sigma\mu \neq 0$, $T > 0$.

For the search of continuous equivalence transformations groups of equation (3) we
 will consider the function F and all its derivatives as additional variables. Generators of
 such groups have a form

$$Y = \tau\partial_t + \xi\partial_S + \alpha\partial_q + \eta\partial_\theta + \zeta\partial_F,$$

where $\tau, \zeta, \alpha, \eta$ depend on t, S, q, θ , and ζ depends on $t, S, q, \theta, F, \theta_t, \theta_S, \theta_q$. Hereafter $\partial_\beta := \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}$ is the partial derivative with respect to a variable β . Equation (3) we will consider in the system with additional equations

$$F_S = 0, \quad F_q = 0, \quad F_\theta = 0, \quad F_{\theta_t} = 0, \quad F_{\theta_S} = 0, \quad (4)$$

which show the dependence of F on t and θ_q only. System (3), (4) is considered as a manifold \mathcal{M} in the expanded space of the corresponding variables. Let us act by the prolonged operator

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 = Y + \eta^t \partial_{\theta_t} + \eta^S \partial_{\theta_S} + \eta^q \partial_{\theta_q} + \eta^{SS} \partial_{\theta_{SS}} + \zeta^t \partial_{F_t} + \zeta^S \partial_{F_S} + \zeta^q \partial_{F_q} + \zeta^\theta \partial_{F_\theta} + \\ + \zeta^{\theta_t} \partial_{F_{\theta_t}} + \zeta^{\theta_S} \partial_{F_{\theta_S}} + \zeta^{\theta_q} \partial_{F_{\theta_q}} \end{aligned}$$

on the both sides of equation (3). After restricting the result on the manifold \mathcal{M} , we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^t - r\eta - (\mu - rS)\alpha + r\eta\zeta + \mu\eta^S + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)\left(\eta^S - \alpha - \frac{r}{2}(\theta_S - q)\tau\right) - \\ \text{zetaeta} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\eta^{SS}|_{\mathcal{M}} = \eta^t - r\eta + rS\alpha + r\eta\zeta + (\mu + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q))(\eta^S - \alpha) - \\ - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2\tau - \zeta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\eta^{SS}|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The coefficients of the prolonged operator Y_2 are calculated using the total derivatives operators

$$\begin{aligned} D_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \theta_t \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \dots, \quad D_S = \frac{\partial}{\partial S} + \theta_S \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \theta_{SS} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_S} + \dots, \quad D_q = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + \theta_q \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \dots, \\ \tilde{D}_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + F_t \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots, \quad \tilde{D}_S = \frac{\partial}{\partial S} + F_S \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots, \quad \tilde{D}_q = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} + F_q \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots, \\ \tilde{D}_\theta = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + F_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots, \quad \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_t} + F_{\theta_t} \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots, \quad \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_S} + F_{\theta_S} \frac{\partial}{\partial F} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

and the prolongation formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^t &= D_t \eta - \theta_t D_t \tau - \theta_S D_t \zeta - \theta_q D_t \alpha, \quad \eta^S = D_S \eta - \theta_t D_S \tau - \theta_S D_S \zeta - \theta_q D_S \alpha, \\ \eta^q &= D_q \eta - \theta_t D_q \tau - \theta_S D_q \zeta - \theta_q D_q \alpha, \quad \eta^{SS} = D_S \eta^S - \theta_{St} D_S \tau - \theta_{SS} D_S \zeta - \theta_{Sq} D_S \alpha, \\ \zeta^S &= \tilde{D}_S \zeta - F_t \tilde{D}_S \tau - F_S \tilde{D}_S \zeta - F_q \tilde{D}_S \alpha - F_\theta \tilde{D}_S \eta - F_{\theta_t} \tilde{D}_S \eta^t - F_{\theta_S} \tilde{D}_S \eta^S - F_{\theta_q} \tilde{D}_S \eta^q, \\ \zeta^q &= \tilde{D}_q \zeta - F_t \tilde{D}_q \tau - F_S \tilde{D}_q \zeta - F_q \tilde{D}_q \alpha - F_\theta \tilde{D}_q \eta - F_{\theta_t} \tilde{D}_q \eta^t - F_{\theta_S} \tilde{D}_q \eta^S - F_{\theta_q} \tilde{D}_q \eta^q, \\ \zeta^\theta &= \tilde{D}_\theta \zeta - F_t \tilde{D}_\theta \tau - F_S \tilde{D}_\theta \zeta - F_q \tilde{D}_\theta \alpha - F_\theta \tilde{D}_\theta \eta - F_{\theta_t} \tilde{D}_\theta \eta^t - F_{\theta_S} \tilde{D}_\theta \eta^S - F_{\theta_q} \tilde{D}_\theta \eta^q, \\ \zeta^{\theta_t} &= \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \zeta - F_t \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \tau - F_S \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \zeta - F_q \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \alpha - F_\theta \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \eta - F_{\theta_t} \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \eta^t - F_{\theta_S} \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \eta^S - F_{\theta_q} \tilde{D}_{\theta_t} \eta^q, \\ \zeta^{\theta_S} &= \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \zeta - F_t \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \tau - F_S \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \zeta - F_q \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \alpha - F_\theta \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \eta - F_{\theta_t} \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \eta^t - F_{\theta_S} \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \eta^S - F_{\theta_q} \tilde{D}_{\theta_S} \eta^q. \end{aligned}$$

The result of the action of Y_2 on equations (4) after restricting on the manifold \mathcal{M} gives

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^S|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_S - F_t \tau_S - F_{\theta_q} \eta_S^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_S - F_t \tau_S - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{Sq} + \theta_q \eta_{S\theta} - \theta_t(\tau_{Sq} + \theta_q \tau_{S\theta}) - \\ &\quad - \theta_S(\zeta_{Sq} + \theta_q \zeta_{S\theta}) - \theta_q(\alpha_{Sq} + \theta_q \alpha_{S\theta}))|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \\ \zeta^q|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_q - F_t \tau_q - F_{\theta_q} \eta_q^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_q - F_t \tau_q - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{qq} + \theta_q \eta_{q\theta} - \theta_t(\tau_{qq} + \theta_q \tau_{q\theta}) - \\ &\quad - \theta_S(\zeta_{qq} + \theta_q \zeta_{q\theta}) - \theta_q(\alpha_{qq} + \theta_q \alpha_{q\theta}))|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \\ \zeta^\theta|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_\theta - F_t \tau_\theta - F_{\theta_q} \eta_\theta^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_\theta - F_t \tau_\theta - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{q\theta} + \theta_q \eta_{\theta\theta} - \theta_t(\tau_{q\theta} + \theta_q \tau_{\theta\theta}) - \\ &\quad - \theta_S(\zeta_{q\theta} + \theta_q \zeta_{\theta\theta}) - \theta_q(\alpha_{q\theta} + \theta_q \alpha_{\theta\theta}))|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0 \\ \zeta^{\theta_t}|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_{\theta_t} - F_{\theta_q} \eta_{\theta_t}^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_{\theta_t} + F_{\theta_q}(\tau_q + \theta_q \tau_\theta)|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0, \\ \zeta^{\theta_S}|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_{\theta_S} - F_{\theta_q} \eta_{\theta_S}^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_{\theta_S} + F_{\theta_q}(\zeta_q + \theta_q \zeta_\theta)|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

71 The transition on the manifold \mathcal{M} means the substitution for θ_t the right-hand side of (3)
72 and vanishing of variables $F_S, F_q, F_\theta, F_{\theta_t}, F_{\theta_S}$. It does not change the form of the last two
73 equations in (6). Therefore, the separation of variables F_{θ_q} and θ_q gives $\zeta_{\theta_t} = 0, \zeta_{\theta_S} = 0,$
74 $\tau_q = 0, \tau_\theta = 0, \zeta_q = 0, \zeta_\theta = 0$.

We substitute the prolongation formulas into equation (5) and after the transition to \mathcal{M} obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta_t - \theta_S \zeta_t - \theta_q \alpha_t - r\eta + rS\alpha + r q \zeta - \frac{r}{2} \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)^2 \tau - \zeta + \\ &+ (\mu + \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)) (\eta_S + \theta_S \eta_\theta - \theta_S \zeta_S - \theta_q (\alpha_S + \theta_S \alpha_\theta) - \alpha) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (\eta_{SS} + 2\theta_S \eta_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2 \eta_{\theta\theta} - 2\theta_{St} \tau_S + \theta_{SS} (\eta_\theta - \theta_q \alpha_\theta - 2\zeta_S) - \\ &\quad - 2\theta_{Sq} (\alpha_S + \theta_S \alpha_\theta) - \theta_S \zeta_{SS} - \theta_q (\alpha_{SS} + 2\theta_S \alpha_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2 \alpha_{\theta\theta})) + \\ &+ \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)^2 + F \right) \times \\ &\times \left(\eta_\theta - \tau_t - \theta_q \alpha_\theta - (\mu + \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)) \tau_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \tau_{SS} \right) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

The differentiation of equation (7) by θ_{St}, θ_{Sq} gives $\tau_S = 0, \alpha_S = 0, \alpha_\theta = 0$. Thus,

$$\tau_S = 0, \tau_q = 0, \tau_\theta = 0, \zeta_q = 0, \zeta_\theta = 0, \alpha_S = 0, \alpha_\theta = 0, \zeta_{\theta_t} = 0, \zeta_{\theta_S} = 0.\tag{8}$$

The first 3 equations in (6) now have the form

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta^S|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_S - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{Sq} + \theta_q \eta_{S\theta}) = 0, \quad \zeta^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = \zeta_q - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{qq} + \theta_q \eta_{q\theta} - \theta_q \alpha_{qq}) = 0, \\ \zeta^\theta|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \zeta_\theta - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_{q\theta} + \theta_q \eta_{\theta\theta}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

The separation of the variables F_{θ_q} and θ_q here gives

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{S\theta} &= 0, \quad \eta_{Sq} = 0, \quad \alpha_{qq} = \eta_{q\theta} = 0, \quad \eta_{qq} = 0, \quad \eta_{\theta\theta} = 0, \\ \zeta_S &= 0, \quad \zeta_q = 0, \quad \zeta_\theta = 0, \quad \zeta_{\theta_t} = 0, \quad \zeta_{\theta_S} = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

By substitution into equation (7) equalities (8) and (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\eta_t - \theta_S \zeta_t - \theta_q \alpha_t - r\eta + rS\alpha + r q \zeta + (\mu + \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)) (\eta_S + \theta_S \eta_\theta - \theta_S \zeta_S - \alpha) - \\ &\quad - \frac{r}{2} \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)^2 \tau - \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 (\eta_{SS} + \theta_{SS} (\eta_\theta - 2\zeta_S) - \theta_S \zeta_{SS}) + \\ &+ (\eta_\theta - \tau_t) \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (\theta_S - q)^2 + F \right) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

We separate this equation by the variables θ_{SS} , θ_S , since ζ does not depend on them in view of (9), and after a reduction we obtain

$$\theta_{SS} : 2\zeta_S = \tau_t, \quad \theta_S^2 : \eta_\theta - 2\zeta_S - r\tau + \tau_t = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$\theta_S : \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(rq\tau + q\zeta_S - \alpha + \eta_S - q\tau_t) - \mu\zeta_S + \mu\tau_t - \zeta_t - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\zeta_{SS} = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$1 : \eta_t - \theta_q\alpha_t - r\eta + rS\alpha + rq\zeta + (\mu - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q)(\eta_S - \alpha) - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q^2\tau - \zeta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\eta_{SS} + (\eta_\theta - \tau_t)\left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q^2 + F\right) = 0. \quad (12)$$

From $2\zeta_S = \tau_t$ in (10) in view of $\tau_S = 0$ due to (8) we get $\zeta_{SS} = 0$. Substitution $2\zeta_S = \tau_t$ from the first equation to the second one in (10) yields $\eta_\theta = r\tau$. Now we differentiate (11) by q and using (8), (9) we get $r\tau + \zeta_S - \alpha_q - \tau_t = 0$. Substitute $\zeta_S = \tau_t/2$ from (10), then $\alpha_q = r\tau - \tau_t/2$. Next, by differentiating (11) by S and using (8) and (9), we obtain $\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}\eta_{SS} - \zeta_{tS} = 0$, or $\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}\eta_{SS} = \tau_{tt}/2$. Therefore, $\eta_{SS} = 0$. Thus,

$$\zeta_{SS} = 0, \quad \alpha_q = r\tau - \frac{\tau_t}{2}, \quad \eta_\theta = r\tau, \quad \eta_{SS} = \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{2\gamma\sigma^2}\tau_{tt}, \quad \eta_{SSS} = 0. \quad (13)$$

The differentiation of equation (12) by S twice with the substitution of the vanishing functions from (8), (9) and (13) gives $\eta_{tSS} - r\eta_{SS} = 0$. The substitution of η_{SS} from (13) here leads to $\tau_{ttt} = 0$. By the differentiation of equation (12) by θ_q we obtain $\alpha_t + \zeta_{\theta q} = 0$. The differentiation by q gives $\alpha_{tq} = 0$, i.e. due to (13) $r\tau_t - \tau_{tt}/2 = 0$. Since $r \neq 0$, this differential equation implies the equality $\tau_{tt} = 0$, hence $\tau_t = 0$. Then due to (10), (13)

$$\tau_t = 0, \quad \alpha_q = r\tau, \quad \zeta_S = 0, \quad \eta_{SS} = 0. \quad (14)$$

From (8), (9), (14) it follows that τ is a constant, $\zeta = \zeta(t)$, $\alpha = r\tau q + A(t)$. Substituting these equalities into (11) we get $\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\eta_S - A(t)) - \zeta_t = 0$. Therefore, due to (9), (13), (14) $\eta = r\tau\theta + B(t)q + (A(t) + e^{r(t-T)}\zeta'(t)/(\gamma\sigma^2))S + C(t)$. So,

$$\zeta = \zeta(t), \quad \alpha = r\tau q + A(t), \quad \eta = r\tau\theta + B(t)q + \left(A(t) + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}\zeta'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2}\right)S + C(t). \quad (15)$$

Substituting these expressions into (12) and shortening we obtain

$$(B' - rB + r\zeta - \zeta')q + C' - rC + \frac{\mu}{\gamma\sigma^2}e^{r(t-T)}\zeta' + \left(A' + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}\zeta''\right)S - A'\theta_q - \zeta + r\tau F = 0. \quad (16)$$

The differentiation by q of equation (16) implies that $B' - rB + r\zeta - \zeta' = 0$, hence $B(t) = \zeta(t) + De^{rt}$. Next, differentiate by S equation (16) and obtain

$$B = \zeta + De^{rt}, \quad A = -\frac{e^{-rT}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\zeta''(s)ds + c. \quad (17)$$

We substitute (17) into (16) and (15) and get

$$\begin{aligned}\xi &= \xi(t), \quad \alpha = r\tau q - \frac{e^{-rT}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \xi''(s) ds + c, \\ \eta &= r\tau\theta + (\xi(t) + De^{rt})q + \frac{e^{-rT}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \left(e^{rt} \xi'(t) - \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \xi''(s) ds \right) S + cS + C(t), \\ \zeta &= C'(t) - rC(t) + \frac{\mu}{\gamma\sigma^2} e^{r(t-T)} \xi'(t) + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \xi''(t) \theta_q + r\tau F.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we prove the next assertion.

Theorem 1. *The Lie algebra of continuous equivalence transformations for equation (3) is generated by the operators*

$$\begin{aligned}Y_1 &= e^{rt} q \partial_\theta, \quad Y_2 = \partial_q + S \partial_\theta, \quad Y_3 = \partial_t + r q \partial_q + r \theta \partial_\theta + r F \partial_F, \\ Y_\phi &= \phi(t) \partial_\theta + (\phi'(t) - r\phi(t)) \partial_F, \quad Y_\psi = \psi(t) \partial_S - \frac{e^{-rT}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \psi''(s) ds \partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\psi(t) q + \frac{e^{-rT}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \left(e^{rt} \psi'(t) - \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \psi''(s) ds \right) S \right) \partial_\theta + \\ &+ \left(\frac{\mu}{\gamma\sigma^2} e^{r(t-T)} \psi'(t) + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \psi''(t) \theta_q \right) \partial_F.\end{aligned}$$

Solving the Lie equations for the obtained Lie algebras and taking the projections on the variables t, θ_q, F we get

$$\begin{aligned}Y_1 : \bar{\theta}_q &= \theta_q + a_1 e^{rt}; \quad Y_3 : \bar{t} = t + a_3, \quad \bar{F} = e^{ra_1} F; \\ Y_\phi : \bar{F} &= F - r\phi(t) + \phi'(t); \quad Y_\psi : \bar{\theta}_q = \theta_q + \psi(t), \\ \bar{F} &= F + \frac{\mu}{\gamma\sigma^2} e^{r(t-T)} \psi'(t) + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{2\gamma\sigma^2} \psi(t) \psi''(t) + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \psi''(t) \theta_q.\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

Remark 1. A Lie algebra is called principal [17] for equation (3), if it is admissible for (3) with any specification of F . From (18) it follows that the principal Lie algebra of equation (3) is generated by Y_2 and by Y_ϕ at $\phi(t) = e^{rt}$. Indeed, for such ϕ the group of transformations, which is generated by Y_ϕ , does not change t, θ_q and F .

Remark 2. We see that the Lie algebra of continuous equivalence transformations for equation (3) is infinite-dimensional, since its operators depend on arbitrary functions ϕ and ψ . Note that such equation with a function F depending on θ_q only has a 5-dimensional Lie algebra of continuous equivalence transformations (see [30]). It generated by Y_2, Y_3, Y_ϕ for $\phi(t) \equiv 1, Y_\phi$ for $\phi(t) = e^{rt}$ and Y_ψ at $\psi(t) \equiv 1$.

3. Calculation of the Symmetry Groups in General Case

Our purpose is to obtain the so-called group classification [17] for equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F(t, \theta_q).\tag{19}$$

For this aim, firstly we will search generators of the symmetry groups for the equation under general assumptions.

On equation (19) we act by the second prolongation $X_2 = X + \eta^q \partial_{\theta_q} + \eta^S \partial_{\theta_S} + \eta^t \partial_{\theta_t} + \eta^{SS} \partial_{\theta_{SS}}$ for a generator $X = \tau \partial_t + \xi \partial_S + \alpha \partial_q + \eta \partial_\theta$ of a continuous group of transformations, where functions τ, ξ, α, η depend on t, S, q, θ . So,

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta^t - r\eta + rS\alpha + rq\xi + (\mu + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q))(\eta^S - \alpha) - \\ & - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\eta^{SS} - F_t\tau - F_{\theta_q}\eta^q|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

After the substitution into (20) of the prolongation formulas and the restriction on the manifold \mathcal{M} , using the equation (19) for θ_t , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F \right) \times \\ & \times \left(\eta_\theta - \tau_t - \theta_S\xi_\theta - \theta_q\alpha_\theta - (\mu + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q))(\tau_S + \theta_S\tau_\theta) + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + F_{\theta_q}(\tau_q + \theta_q\tau_\theta) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\theta_{SS}\tau_\theta + \theta_S\tau_{SS} + 2\theta_S\tau_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2\tau_{\theta\theta}) \right) - \\ & - \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F \right)^2 \tau_\theta + \\ & \quad + \eta_t - \theta_S\xi_t - \theta_q\alpha_t - r\eta + rS\alpha + rq\xi + \\ & \quad (\mu + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q))(\eta_S + \theta_S\eta_\theta - \theta_S(\xi_S + \theta_S\xi_\theta) - \theta_q(\alpha_S + \theta_S\alpha_\theta) - \alpha) - \\ & - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2\tau - F_t\tau - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_q + \theta_q\eta_\theta - \theta_S(\xi_q + \theta_q\xi_\theta) - \theta_q(\alpha_q + \theta_q\alpha_\theta)) + \\ & \quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\eta_{SS} + 2\theta_S\eta_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2\eta_{\theta\theta} - 2\theta_{tS}(\tau_S + \theta_S\tau_\theta) + \theta_{SS}(\eta_\theta - \theta_q\alpha_\theta - 2\xi_S - 3\theta_S\xi_\theta) - \\ & \quad - 2\theta_{Sq}(\alpha_S + \theta_S\alpha_\theta) - \theta_S(\xi_{SS} + 2\theta_S\xi_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2\xi_{\theta\theta}) - \theta_q(\alpha_{SS} + 2\theta_S\alpha_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2\alpha_{\theta\theta})) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

- 88 The differentiation of this equation by the variables θ_{Sq} and θ_{tS} leads to the equations
 89 $\tau_S = 0, \tau_\theta = 0, \alpha_S = 0, \alpha_\theta = 0$.

Equating the coefficient at θ_{SS} in (21) to zero, obtain $\xi_\theta = 0, \tau_t - 2\xi_S - F_{\theta_q}\tau_q = 0$, and using the equality $\tau_S = 0$ we get $\xi_{SS} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\tau_S = 0, \quad \tau_\theta = 0, \quad \alpha_S = 0, \quad \alpha_\theta = 0, \quad \xi_\theta = 0, \quad \xi_{SS} = 0, \quad \tau_t - 2\xi_S - F_{\theta_q}\tau_q = 0. \quad (22)$$

Applying these equalities in (21) we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{1}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F \right)(\eta_\theta - \tau_t + F_{\theta_q}\tau_q) + \eta_t - \\ & - \theta_S\xi_t - \theta_q\alpha_t - r\eta + (\mu + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q))(\eta_S + \theta_S\eta_\theta - \theta_S\xi_S - \alpha) + \\ & + rS\alpha + rq\xi - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2\tau - F_t\tau - F_{\theta_q}(\eta_q + \theta_q\eta_\theta - \theta_S\xi_q - \theta_q\alpha_q) + \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(\eta_{SS} + 2\theta_S\eta_{S\theta} + \theta_S^2\eta_{\theta\theta}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We separate this equation by the variable θ_S taking into account the last equation from (22) and get the equations

$$\theta_S^2 : \gamma e^{r(T-t)}(\eta_\theta - r\tau) + \eta_{\theta\theta} = 0, \quad (23)$$

$$\theta_S : \gamma \sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(-q\zeta_S + \eta_S - \alpha + rq\tau) + F_{\theta q}\zeta_q + \sigma^2\eta_{S\theta} - \zeta_t + 2\mu\zeta_S = 0, \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 : & \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}q^2 + F \right) (\eta_\theta - \tau_t + F_{\theta q}\tau_q) + \eta_t - \theta_q\alpha_t - r\eta + \\ & + rS\alpha + rq\zeta + (\mu - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q)(\eta_S - \alpha) - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q^2\tau - F_t\tau - \\ & - F_{\theta q}(\eta_q + \theta_q\eta_\theta - \theta_q\alpha_q) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\eta_{SS} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

From (22) it follows that $\zeta = A(t, q)S + B(t, q)$, equation (23) implies that $\eta_\theta = r\tau + C_0(t, S, q)e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta}$. Therefore,

$$\zeta = A(t, q)S + B(t, q), \quad \eta = r\theta\tau + C(t, S, q)e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + D(t, S, q). \quad (26)$$

Substitute these equalities into (24), (25) and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(-qA + D_S - \alpha + rq\tau) - A_tS - B_t + F_{\theta q}(A_qS + B_q) + 2\mu A = 0, \quad (27) \\ & \left(r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}q^2 + F \right) (r\tau - \gamma e^{r(T-t)}Ce^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} - 2A) + \\ & + r\theta\tau_t + C_t e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + r\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta C e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + D_t - r^2\theta\tau - rC e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} - rD - \\ & - \theta_q\alpha_t + rS\alpha + rq(AS + B) + (\mu - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q)(C_S e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + D_S - \alpha) - \\ & - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q^2\tau - F_t\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(C_{SS}e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + D_{SS}) - \\ & - F_{\theta q}(r\theta\tau_q + C_q e^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta} + D_q + \theta_q(r\tau - \gamma e^{r(T-t)}Ce^{-\gamma e^{r(T-t)}\theta}) - \theta_q\alpha_q) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equation the variable θ is present explicitly, after the reduction of similar terms the equation has a form $a + be^{q\theta} = 0$, $q \neq 0$. Hence $a = b = 0$ and we have the equations

$$\begin{aligned} a = & \left((\mu - rS)q - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}q^2 + F \right) (r\tau - 2A) + D_t - rD - \\ & - \theta_q\alpha_t + rS\alpha + rq(AS + B) + (\mu - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q)(D_S - \alpha) - \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{r}{2}\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q^2\tau - F_t\tau + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}D_{SS} - F_{\theta q}(D_q + r\theta_q\tau - \theta_q\alpha_q) = 0, \\ b = & - \left((\mu - rS)q - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}q^2 + F \right) \gamma e^{r(T-t)}C + C_t - rC + \\ & + (\mu - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}q)C_S + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}C_{SS} - F_{\theta q}(C_q - \gamma e^{r(T-t)}C\theta_q) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

90 4. Calculation of the Group Classification in the Case $F_{\theta q\theta q} \neq 0$

Let us continue the calculations using the assumption $F_{\theta q\theta q} \neq 0$. Differentiating the last equation in (22), (27) and (29) by θ_q , we obtain that $\tau_q = 0$, $A_q = 0$, $B_q = 0$, $C = 0$. Taking into account form (26) of ζ , we get $A = \tau_t/2$. Hence

$$\tau_q = 0, \quad A_q = 0, \quad A = \frac{\tau_t}{2}, \quad B_q = 0, \quad C = 0. \quad (30)$$

91 Differentiate (27) by S and due to (30) obtain the equality $\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}D_{SS} = \tau_{tt}/2$, hence
 92 $D_{SSS} = 0$ and $D_{SSq} = 0$. Therefore, the differentiation of equation (28) twice by S gives
 93 $-rD_{SS} + D_{tSS} = 0$ and substituting the expression for D_{SS} we get $\tau_{ttt} = 0$.

Next, differentiating equation (27) by q and equation (28) by θ_q and S we obtain

$$-\frac{\tau_t}{2} + D_{Sq} - \alpha_q + r\tau = 0, \quad D_{Sq} = 0, \quad \alpha_q = r\tau - \frac{\tau_t}{2}.$$

Differentiate (28) by S and q and get

$$-r(r\tau - \tau_t) + r\alpha_q + rA - \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} D_{SS} = r\tau_t - \tau_{tt}/2 = 0.$$

94 From this equation and the equality $\tau_{ttt} = 0$ it follows that $\tau_t = 0$.

Therefore, τ is a constant, $\alpha_q = r\tau$, $\alpha = r\tau + E(t)$. Substitute it in equation (27) and obtain $\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)} (D_S - E) - B_t = 0$. Hence,

$$\zeta = B(t), \quad \alpha = r\tau + E(t), \quad D = G(t, q) + E(t)S + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} B'(t)S. \quad (31)$$

Substituting (31) into (28) and reducing we get

$$r\tau F + G_t + E'S + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} B''S - E'\theta_q - rG + rBq + \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} B' - B'q - \tau F_t - G_q F_{\theta_q} = 0. \quad (32)$$

Differentiate (32) by θ_q and q and get $G_{qq} = 0$. Then $G = H(t)q + J(t)$ and the separation of equation (32) by q and S gives

$$\begin{aligned} G = H(t)q + J(t), \quad E' + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} B'' = 0, \quad H' - rH + rB - B' = 0, \\ r\tau F + J' - E'\theta_q - rJ + \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} B' - \tau F_t - HF_{\theta_q} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The third equation in (33) implies that $B = H + Ke^{rt}$. Substitute this equality into the second equation in (33), then

$$B(t) = H(t) + Ke^{rt}, \quad E(t) = - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{e^{r(s-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H''(s) + r^2 Ke^{rs}) ds + L. \quad (34)$$

Now equalities (31) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta = H(t) + Ke^{rt}, \quad \alpha = r\tau - \int_{t_0}^t \frac{e^{r(s-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H''(s) + r^2 Ke^{rs}) ds + L, \\ \eta = r\theta\tau + \left(- \int_{t_0}^t \frac{e^{r(s-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H''(s) + r^2 Ke^{rs}) ds + L \right) S + \\ + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H'(t) + rKe^{rt})S + H(t)q + J(t). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Substituting (34) into the last equation in (33) we get

$$r\tau F - \tau F_t - HF_{\theta_q} + J' - rJ + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H'' + r^2 Ke^{rt})\theta_q + \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H' + rKe^{rt}) = 0. \quad (36)$$

95 This equation has the form $r\tau F - \tau F_t - H(t)F_{\theta_q} + u(t)\theta_q + v(t) = 0$. Consider possible
96 situations.

97 4.1. The case $\tau = 0$, $H \equiv 0$

98 If $\tau = 0$, $H \equiv 0$, then $K = 0$, $J' - rJ = 0$, $J = J_0 e^{rt}$. Due to (35) we get the generators
99 of symmetry groups $X_1 = e^{rt}\partial_\theta$, $X_2 = \partial_q + S\partial_\theta$ for arbitrary F , such that $F_{\theta_q\theta_q} \neq 0$.

100 4.2. The case $\tau \neq 0, H \equiv 0$

If $\tau \neq 0, H \equiv 0$, then $F = \Phi_1(\theta_q)e^{rt} + b(t)\theta_q + c(t)$. Using the equivalence transformation of the group, which is generated by Y_ϕ (18) with ϕ , such that $\phi' - r\phi + c = 0$, we obtain $F = \Phi_1(\theta_q)e^{rt} + b(t)\theta_q$. Since $F_{\theta_q\theta_q} \neq 0$, then $\Phi_1'' \neq 0$. Substitute F in (36), then

$$r\tau b\theta_q - \tau b'\theta_q + J' - rJ + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}r^2Ke^{rt}\theta_q + \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}rKe^{rt} = 0.$$

Separating by the variable θ_q , obtain

$$b(t) = b_0e^{rt} + \frac{rKe^{r(2t-T)}}{\tau\gamma\sigma^2}, \quad J(t) = J_0e^{rt} - \frac{\mu Ke^{r(2t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}.$$

Denote $\Phi(\theta) := \Phi_1(\theta_q) + b_0\theta_q$, then $\Phi'' = \Phi_1'' \neq 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \Phi(\theta_q)e^{rt} + 2rbe^{2rt}\theta_q, \quad \Phi'' \neq 0, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \tau &= \tau_0, \quad \xi = 2\tau\gamma\sigma^2be^{r(t+T)}, \quad \alpha = rq\tau - r\tau be^{2rt} + L, \\ \eta &= r\theta\tau + r\tau be^{2rt}S + LS + J_0e^{rt} - 2\mu\tau be^{2rt}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtained the specialization and the symmetry group, which is generated by operators

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= e^{rt}\partial_\theta, \quad X_2 = \partial_q + S\partial_\theta, \\ X_3 &= \partial_t + 2\gamma\sigma^2b_1e^{r(t+T)}\partial_S + (rq - rb_1e^{2rt})\partial_q + (r\theta + rb_1e^{2rt}S - 2\mu b_1e^{2rt})\partial_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

101 4.3. The case $\tau = 0, H \neq 0$

If $\tau = 0, H \neq 0$, then $u \neq 0$, otherwise, $F_{\theta_q\theta_q} \equiv 0$. Therefore, $F = a(t)\theta_q^2 + b(t)\theta_q + c(t)$, $a \neq 0$. We use the equivalence transformation of the group with the generator Y_ψ (18), where ψ is a solution of the equation

$$\psi''(t) + \gamma\sigma^2e^{r(T-t)}(2a(t)\psi(t) + b(t)) = 0,$$

and get $F = a(t)\theta_q^2 + c(t)$, then by a transformation with a generator Y_ϕ we obtain the equivalent function $F = a(t)\theta_q^2$. Then (36) implies the equation

$$J' + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(H'' + r^2Ke^{rt})\theta_q - rJ + \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(H' + rKe^{rt}) - 2Ha\theta_q = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$H'' = 2\gamma\sigma^2e^{r(T-t)}a(t)H - r^2Ke^{rt}, \quad J' - rJ + \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(H' + rKe^{rt}) = 0. \quad (37)$$

Solving the second equation in (37) we get

$$J = J_0e^{rt} - \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(H + Ke^{rt}).$$

Then (35) has the form

$$\begin{aligned}\tau = 0, \quad \xi = H(t) + Ke^{rt}, \quad \alpha = -2 \int_{t_0}^t a(s)H(s)ds + L, \\ \eta = \left(-2 \int_{t_0}^t a(s)H(s)ds + L \right) S + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H'(t) + rKe^{rt})S + \\ + H(t)q + J_0e^{rt} - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H(t) + Ke^{rt}).\end{aligned}\quad (38)$$

Let $\Psi(t)$ is a partial solution of the first equation in (37) for $K = 1$, then a general solution of the equation is $H(t) = c_1\varphi_1(t) + c_2\varphi_2(t) + K\Psi(t)$, where φ_1 and φ_2 are two linearly independent solutions of the homogeneous equation $H'' = 2\gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}a(t)H$. Therefore, (38) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &= e^{rt}\partial_\theta, \quad X_2 = \partial_q + S\partial_\theta, \\ X_3 &= \varphi_1(t)\partial_S - 2 \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_1(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_1'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2} S - 2S \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_1(s)ds + \varphi_1(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \varphi_1(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_4 &= \varphi_2(t)\partial_S - 2 \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_2(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_2'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2} S - 2S \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_2(s)ds + \varphi_2(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} \varphi_2(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_5 &= (\Psi(t) + e^{rt})\partial_S - 2 \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\Psi(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (\Psi'(t) + re^{rt})S - 2S \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\Psi(s)ds + \Psi(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (\Psi(t) + e^{rt}) \right) \partial_\theta.\end{aligned}$$

102 4.4. The case $\tau \neq 0$, $H \neq 0$

For the case $\tau \neq 0$, $H \neq 0$ make a replacement

$$F = e^{rt}\Phi(t, \theta_q) - e^{rt} \int_{t_0}^t e^{-rs}u(s)ds\theta_q + e^{rt} \int H(t) \int_{t_0}^t e^{-rs}u(s)dsdt - e^{rt} \int e^{-rt}v(t)dt$$

and obtain the equation $\tau\Phi_t + H(t)\Phi_{\theta_q} = 0$. Its general solution has the form

$$\Phi = \Phi\left(\theta_q - \int H(t)dt/\tau\right).$$

Therefore, $F = e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q - \int H(t)dt/\tau) + b_1(t)\theta_q + c_1(t)$. After using the equivalence transformation of the group for Y_ψ (18) with $\psi = \int H(t)dt/\tau$ we obtain $F = e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q) + b(t)\theta_q + c(t)$, $\Phi'' \neq 0$. Substitute the result in (36), then

$$\begin{aligned}r\tau b\theta_q + r\tau c - \tau b'\theta_q - \tau c' - e^{rt}H\Phi' - Hb + J' - rJ + \\ + \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H'' + r^2Ke^{rt})\theta_q + \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2} (H' + rKe^{rt}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi(\theta_q) = a_0 + a_1\theta_q + a\theta_q^2$, by the equivalence transformation for X_ψ , where

$$\psi''(t) + \gamma\sigma^2 e^{r(T-t)}(2ae^{rt}\psi(t) + a_1 + b(t)) = 0,$$

then by an equivalence transformation for a group with a generator X_ϕ obtain $F = ae^{rt}\theta_q^2$ with a constant $a \neq 0$. So, we obtain a partial case to the previous one, but with a nonzero τ , which gives additional symmetry. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= e^{rt}\partial_\theta, \quad X_2 = \partial_q + S\partial_\theta, \quad X_3 = \partial_t + rq\partial_q + r\theta\partial_\theta, \\ X_4 &= \varphi_1(t)\partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\varphi_1(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_1'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2}S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\varphi_1(s)ds + \varphi_1(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}\varphi_1(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_5 &= \varphi_2(t)\partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\varphi_2(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_2'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2}S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\varphi_2(s)ds + \varphi_2(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}\varphi_2(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_6 &= (\Psi(t) + e^{rt})\partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\Psi(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(\Psi'(t) + re^{rt})S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs}\Psi(s)ds + \Psi(t)q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(\Psi(t) + e^{rt}) \right) \partial_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of the first equation in (37) we have the equation with constant coefficients

$$H'' - 2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}H + r^2Ke^{rt} = 0.$$

Therefore, we can calculate a solution of this equation analitically. If $a\gamma > 0$, $a \neq r^2/2\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}$, then

$$\varphi_1(t) = e^{\sqrt{2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t}, \quad \varphi_2(t) = e^{-\sqrt{2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t}, \quad \Psi(t) = \frac{r^2Ke^{rt}}{r^2 - 2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}. \quad (39)$$

For $a\gamma > 0$, $a = r^2/2\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}$ we have

$$\varphi_1(t) = e^{\sqrt{2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t}, \quad \varphi_2(t) = e^{-\sqrt{2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t}, \quad \Psi(t) = -\frac{rKte^{rt}}{2}. \quad (40)$$

Finally, if $a\gamma < 0$, then

$$\varphi_1(t) = \sin \sqrt{-2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t, \quad \varphi_2(t) = \cos \sqrt{-2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}t, \quad \Psi(t) = \frac{r^2Ke^{rt}}{r^2 - 2a\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}}. \quad (41)$$

103 The equality $a = r^2/2\gamma\sigma^2e^{rT}$ in this case is not possible.

104 5. Theorem on Group Classification

105 As a result of calculations in the previous section, we obtain the following theorem
106 on group classification.

107 **Theorem 2.** Let $r, \gamma, \sigma, \mu, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

1. The Lie algebra for the equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + F(t, \theta_q), \quad (42)$$

where F is not equivalent to $a(t)\theta_q^2$ or $e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q) + b_0e^{rt}\theta_q + b_1e^{2rt}\theta_q$, $F_{\theta_q\theta_q} \neq 0$, is generated by the operators

$$X_1 = e^{rt}\partial_\theta, \quad X_2 = \partial_q + S\partial_\theta. \quad (43)$$

2. The Lie algebra for the equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q) + be^{2rt}\theta_q, \quad (44)$$

where $b \in \mathbb{R}$, Φ is a nonlinear function, which is not equivalent to $a\theta_q^2$, is generated by the operators

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= e^{rt}\partial_\theta, & X_2 &= \partial_q + S\partial_\theta, \\ X_3 &= \partial_t + 2\gamma\sigma^2be^{r(t+T)}\partial_S + (rq - rbe^{2rt})\partial_q + (r\theta + rbe^{2rt}S - 2\mu be^{2rt})\partial_\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

3. The Lie algebra for the equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + a(t)\theta_q^2,$$

where $a(t)$ is a nonzero function, which is not equivalent to a_0e^{rt} , is generated by the operators

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= e^{rt}\partial_\theta, & X_2 &= \partial_q + S\partial_\theta, \\ X_3 &= \varphi_1(t)\partial_S - 2\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_1(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_1'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2}S - 2S\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_1(s)ds + \varphi_1(t)q - \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}\varphi_1(t) \right)\partial_\theta, \\ X_4 &= \varphi_2(t)\partial_S - 2\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_2(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}\varphi_2'(t)}{\gamma\sigma^2}S - 2S\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\varphi_2(s)ds + \varphi_2(t)q - \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}\varphi_2(t) \right)\partial_\theta, \\ X_5 &= (\Psi(t) + e^{rt})\partial_S - 2\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\Psi(s)ds\partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(\Psi'(t) + re^{rt})S - 2S\int_{t_0}^t a(s)\Psi(s)ds + \Psi(t)q - \mu\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma\sigma^2}(\Psi(t) + e^{rt}) \right)\partial_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

108 Here φ_1, φ_2 are linearly independent solutions of the equation $H''(t) = 2\gamma\sigma^2e^{r(T-t)}a(t)H(t)$,
 109 Ψ is a partial solution of the equation $H''(t) = 2\gamma\sigma^2e^{r(T-t)}a(t)H(t) - r^2e^{rt}$.

4. The Lie algebra for the equation

$$\theta_t = r\theta + (\mu - rS)q - \mu\theta_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_{SS} - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2}e^{r(T-t)}(\theta_S - q)^2 + ae^{rt}\theta_q^2,$$

where a is a nonzero constant, is generated by the operators

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &= e^{rt} \partial_\theta, \quad X_2 = \partial_q + S \partial_\theta, \quad X_3 = \partial_t + r q \partial_q + r \theta \partial_\theta, \\ X_4 &= \varphi_1(t) \partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \varphi_1(s) ds \partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)} \varphi_1'(t)}{\gamma \sigma^2} S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \varphi_1(s) ds + \varphi_1(t) q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma \sigma^2} \varphi_1(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_5 &= \varphi_2(t) \partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \varphi_2(s) ds \partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)} \varphi_2'(t)}{\gamma \sigma^2} S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \varphi_2(s) ds + \varphi_2(t) q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma \sigma^2} \varphi_2(t) \right) \partial_\theta, \\ X_6 &= (\Psi(t) + e^{rt}) \partial_S - 2a \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \Psi(s) ds \partial_q + \\ &+ \left(\frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma \sigma^2} (\Psi'(t) + r e^{rt}) S - 2aS \int_{t_0}^t e^{rs} \Psi(s) ds + \Psi(t) q - \mu \frac{e^{r(t-T)}}{\gamma \sigma^2} (\Psi(t) + e^{rt}) \right) \partial_\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \Psi$ are from (39), (40), or (41), depending on the sign of $a\gamma$ and the value of a .

Remark 3. In the second part of this theorem at $b = 0$ and in the fourth one we have the market trading volume $V_t = ae^{rt}$ with a constant $a \neq 0$, as multiplier at a function of θ_q in an expression for F . If $\Phi \equiv 0$ in the second part, then the market trading volume is $V_t = be^{2rt}$. In the third part of the theorem $V_t = a(t)$.

Remark 4. A theorem on the group classification of equation (3) with a free element F depending on θ_q only is obtained in [30]. It contains the specifications $F = e^{v\theta_q}$ and $F = \theta_q^2$, which correspond to additional symmetries of the equation.

6. Application to the Search of Some Submodels

Using a symmetry group for a differential equation we can reduce the number of variables, on which an unknown function depends, by the dimension of the considered group. If the resulting equation can be integrated, we obtain an exact solution of the original equation, invariant with respect to the group of symmetries under consideration. If the resulting equation is not integrable, following L.V. Ovsyannikov [31], we will call such an equation an invariant submodel of the initial equation (initial model).

In order to find invariant solutions or submodels that are not translated into each other by transformations of variables, we must find the so-called optimal system of subalgebras of the Lie algebra of the equation under study. To do this, the internal automorphisms of this algebra are used, which can be found through nonzero structural constants of the algebra. Below we will do this for the two simplest Lie algebras of the symmetry groups generators obtained in the group classification theorem.

6.1. Optimal system of subalgebras and submodels for the general case

Lie algebra L_2 (43) is commutative, hence it has no continuous groups of internal automorphisms. So, its optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras is $\Theta_1 = \{\langle X_2 \rangle, \langle X_1 + cX_2 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

The subalgebra $\langle X_2 \rangle$ has the invariants $J_1 = t, J_2 = S, J_3 = \theta - qS$. Writing $J_3 = w(J_1, J_2)$ we obtain the form of the corresponding invariant solution $\theta = w(t, S) + Sq$. Substitute it into equation (42) and obtain the submodel

$$w_t = rw - \mu w_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} w_{SS} - \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2} e^{r(T-t)} w_S^2 + F(t, S),$$

which is invariant for $\langle X_2 \rangle$. Analogously we get the invariants $t, \frac{e^{rt}}{c} + S, \theta - \frac{e^{rt}}{c}q - Sq$ for the subalgebra $\langle X_1 + cX_2 \rangle, c \neq 0$. The invariant submodel for it has the form

$$w_t = rw - \mu w_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} w_{SS} - \frac{\gamma\sigma^2}{2} e^{r(T-t)} w_S^2 + F\left(t, \frac{e^{rt}}{c} + S\right),$$

where $\theta = w(t, S) + \frac{e^{rt}}{c}q + Sq$. If $c = 0$, then the subalgebra $\langle X_1 \rangle$ has no invariant submodels, since its invariants t, S, q do not depend on θ .

6.2. Optimal system of subalgebras and submodels for the specification $F = \Phi(\theta_q)e^{rt}$

Nonzero structural constants for a Lie algebra with a basis $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ are coefficients c_{ij}^k in the decomposition of a commutator $[X_i, X_j]$ [17] by the basis: $[X_i, X_j] = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{ij}^k X_k$. Generators of continuous groups of internal automorphisms can be calculated by the formula $E_i = \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_{ij}^k e_j \partial_{e_k}$, where e_j are coefficients in the decomposition of an element of the Lie algebra by its basis, which depend on group parameters.

Consider the Lie algebra L_3 with basis (45). For L_3 we have $c_{23}^1 = -c_{32}^1 = -2\gamma\sigma^2 be^{rT}$, $c_{23}^2 = -c_{32}^2 = r$. The integration of the Lie equations for the generators gives $E_2 : \bar{e}_1 = e_1 - 2\gamma\sigma^2 be^{rT} e_3 a_2$, $\bar{e}_2 = e_2 + re_3 a_2$; $E_3 : \bar{e}_1 = e_1 - \frac{2\gamma\sigma^2}{r} be^{rT} e_2 (1 - e^{-ra_3})$, $\bar{e}_2 = e^{-ra_3} e_2$. Also, we add a mirror automorphism $E_- : \bar{e}_1 = -\bar{e}_1$, which does not change the commutators of the basis operators of this Lie algebra L_3 .

Let $b \neq 0$, for $e_3 \neq 0$ by the internal automorphism E_2 obtain $e_2 = 0$, then we have $(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (c, 0, 1)$ after scaling, i.e. we get $cX_1 + X_3, c \in \mathbb{R}$. If $e_3 = 0, e_2 \neq 0$, then by E_3 get $e_1 = 0, (e_1, e_2, e_3) = (0, 1, 0)$, if we take

$$a_3 = -\frac{1}{r} \ln\left(1 - \frac{re^{-rT} e_1}{2\gamma\sigma^2 be_2}\right)$$

in the case $\frac{re^{-rT} e_1}{2\gamma\sigma^2 be_2} < 1$. If $\frac{re^{-rT} e_1}{2\gamma\sigma^2 be_2} \geq 1$, we will use E_- to go to the previous case. For $e_2 = e_3 = 0$ we have $(e_1, e_2, e_3) = (1, 0, 0)$. Thus, $\Theta_1^1 = \{\langle X_1 \rangle, \langle X_2 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

Let us search for a system of two-dimensional subalgebras for L_3 with $b \neq 0$. For the basis vector X_1 of the one-dimensional subalgebra $\langle X_1 \rangle$, consider the second basis vector in the form $\alpha X_2 + \beta X_3$, then the commutator has the form $[X_1, \alpha X_2 + \beta X_3] = 0$. We get subalgebras $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$ for $e_3 = 0$, $\langle X_1, X_3 \rangle$ for $e_3 \neq 0$, if we use E_2 .

For the basis vector X_2 , consider the second basis vector in the form $\alpha X_1 + \beta X_3$. Their commutator is $[X_2, \alpha X_1 + \beta X_3] = r\beta X_2 - 2\beta\gamma\sigma^2 be^{rT} X_1$. Therefore, a subalgebra is formed at $\beta = 0$, which is already found in the form $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$.

For $cX_1 + X_3$, consider the second basis vector in the form $\alpha X_1 + \beta X_2$. Then we have $[cX_1 + X_3, \alpha X_1 + \beta X_2] = 2\beta\gamma\sigma^2 be^{rT} X_1 - r\beta X_2$ and get the subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3, 2\gamma\sigma^2 be^{rT} X_1 - rX_2 \rangle$. By E_3 and E_- reduce it to $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle$.

Lemma 1. Optimal systems of one-dimensional and two-dimensional subalgebras of Lie algebra L_3 (45) with $b \neq 0$ are

$$\Theta_1^1 = \{\langle X_1 \rangle, \langle X_2 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}, \Theta_2^1 = \{\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle, \langle X_1, X_3 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

In the case $b = 0$, for $e_3 \neq 0$ we obtain the vector $(c, 0, 1)$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$, using E_2 . If $e_3 = 0$, then using E_3, E_- we get $(1, 1, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0)$. So, $\Theta_1^2 = \{\langle X_1 \rangle, \langle X_2 \rangle, \langle X_1 + X_2 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. In this case, we have two-dimensional subalgebras $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle, \langle X_1, X_3 \rangle$ also. Moreover, $[X_2, \alpha X_1 + \beta X_3] = r\beta X_2$, and we have the subalgebra $\langle X_2, \alpha X_1 + \beta X_3 \rangle$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\beta = 0$, it will be a partial case of $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$, for $\beta \neq 0$ we obtain the subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle$. Since $[cX_1 + X_3, \alpha X_1 + \beta X_2] = -r\beta X_2$, we obtain another subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_1 \rangle$.

Lemma 2. Optimal system of one-dimensional and two-dimensional subalgebras of Lie algebra L_3 (45) with $b_1 = 0$ are $\Theta_1^2 = \{\langle X_1 \rangle, \langle X_2 \rangle, \langle X_1 + X_2 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $\Theta_2^2 = \{\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3, X_1 \rangle, \langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$.

The subalgebras $\langle X_1 \rangle$, $\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle$, $\langle X_1, X_3 \rangle$ do not have invariant submodels, since $\langle X_1 \rangle$ does not have invariants depending on θ .

Consider the case $b = 0$, then the subalgebra $\langle X_1 + X_2 \rangle$ has invariants t , S , $\theta - (e^{rt} + S)q$, therefore, an invariant solution has the form $\theta = w(t, S) + (e^{rt} + S)q$ and the invariant submodel is

$$w_t = rw - \mu w_S - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} w_{SS} - \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2} e^{r(T-t)} w_S^2 + F(t, e^{rt} + S).$$

The subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle$ has invariants $x := qe^{-rt}$, S , $\theta e^{-rt} - ct$, hence we will look for an invariant solution in the form $\theta = cte^{rt} + e^{rt}w(qe^{-rt}, S)$, where w is a function of two variables. Substitute it into (44) and obtain the invariant for $\langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle$ submodel

$$\Phi(w_x) + rxw_x = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} w_{SS} + \mu w_S + \frac{\gamma \sigma^2}{2} e^{rT} (w_S - x)^2 + (rS - \mu)x + c.$$

The subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_1 \rangle$ has no invariants depending on θ and, therefore, invariant submodels. Let us find the invariant submodel with respect to $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle$. Consider a function $G = G(x, S, y)$, where $x := qe^{-rt}$, $y := \theta e^{-rt} - ct$ are invariants for the subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3 \rangle$. Then $X_2 G = e^{-rt} G_x + S e^{-rt} G_y$ and invariants of the subalgebra $\langle cX_1 + X_3, X_2 \rangle$ are S and $y - Sx = (\theta - Sq)e^{-rt} - ct$. Therefore, we will search an invariant solution for this subalgebra in the form $\theta = cte^{rt} + Sq + e^{rt}w(S)$. The invariant submodel will have the form

$$w''(S) + \frac{2\mu}{\sigma^2} w'(S) + \gamma e^{rT} w'(S)^2 - \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \Phi(S) + \frac{2c}{\sigma^2} = 0.$$

Conclusion

Theorem on group classification of the Guéant and Pu model of the option pricing taking into account transaction costs and the impact of operations on the market is obtained in this paper. For this aim, the Lie algebra of generators of continuous groups of equivalence transformations is calculated. For the general case and for the case of the equation with the right-hand side $F = e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q)$ optimal systems of subalgebras and corresponding invariant submodels is derived. The results of this work will be applied to the analogous research of the Guéant and Pu model with the specifications $F = e^{rt}\Phi(\theta_q) + be^{2rt}$, $F = a(t)\theta_q^2$, $F = ae^{rt}\theta_q^2$, which is presented in the obtained here theorem on group classification.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.M.S. and V.E.F.; methodology, V.E.F. and Y.K.V.; software, Y.K.V.; validation, V.E.F. and Y.K.V.; formal analysis, Y.K.V.; investigation, Y.K.V.; resources, S.M.S.; data curation, S.M.S.; writing—original draft preparation, V.E.F.; writing—review and editing, S.M.S. and V.E.F.; visualization, Y.K.V.; supervision, S.M.S. and V.E.F.; project administration, S.M.S.; funding acquisition, S.M.S. and V.E.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The work is supported by the Ministry of science and higher education of the Russian Federation, agreement No. 075-02-2022-881, 02 February 2022. The work of V.E.F. is funded by the grant of the President of the Russian Federation to support leading scientific schools, project number NSh-2708.2022.1.1.

References

- Black, F.; The pricing of Commodity Contracts. *J. of Financial Economics* **1976**, *3*, 167–179.
- Black, F.; Scholes, M. The pricing of options and corporate liabilities. *J. of Political Economy* **1973**, *81*, 637–659.
- Magill, M.J.P.; Constantinides, G.M. Portfolio selection with transactions costs. *Journal of Economic Theory* **1976**, *13*, 245–263.

4. Kyle, A.S. Continuous auctions and insider trading. *Econometrica* **1985**, *53*, 1315–1335.
5. Leland, H.E. Option pricing and replication with transactions costs. *The J. of Finance* **1985**, *40*, 1283–1301.
6. Cvitanić, J.; Karatzas, I. Hedging and portfolio optimization under transaction costs: a martingale approach. *Mathematical Finance* **1996**, *6*, 133–165.
7. Barles, G.; Soner, H.M. Option pricing with transaction costs and a nonlinear Black — Scholes equation. *Finance and Stochastics* **1998**, *2*, 369–397.
8. Grossman, S. An analysis of the implications for stock and futures price volatility of program trading and dynamic hedging strategies. *The Journal of Business* **1998**, *61* (3), 275–298.
9. Platen, E.; Schweizer, M. On feedback effects from hedging derivatives. *Mathematical Finance* **1998**, *8*, 67–84.
10. Sircar, R.; Papanicolaou, G. Generalized Black — Scholes models accounting for increased market volatility from hedging strategies. *Applied Mathematical Finance* **1998**, *5* (1), 45–82.
11. Schönbucher, P.; Wilmott, P. The feedback-effect of hedging in illiquid markets. *SIAM J. on Applied Mathematics* **2000**, *61*, 232–272.
12. Bank, P.; Baum, D. Hedging and portfolio optimization in financial markets with a large trader. *Mathematical Finance* **2004**, *14*, 1–18.
13. Çetin, U.; Jarrow, R.; Protter, P. Liquidity risk and arbitrage pricing theory. *Finance and Stochastic* **2004**, *8*, 311–341.
14. Çetin, U.; Rogers, L.C. Modelling liquidity effects in discrete time. *Mathematical Finance* **2007**, *17*, 15–29.
15. Rogers, L.C.; Singh, L.S. The cost of illiquidity and its effects on hedging. *Mathematical Finance* **2010**, *20* (4), 597–615.
16. Gazizov, R.K.; Ibragimov, N.H. Lie symmetry analysis of differential equations in finance. *Nonlinear Dynamics* **1998**, *17*, 387–407.
17. Ovsiannikov, L.V. *Group Analysis of Differential Equations*. Academic Press: New York, USA, 1982.
18. Olver, P.J. *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*. Springer-Verlag: New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1986.
19. Bordag, L. A. *Geometrical Properties of Differential Equations: Applications of the Lie Group Analysis in Financial Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Company, 2015.
20. Bordag, L.A.; Mikaelyan, A. Models of self-financing hedging strategies in illiquid markets: symmetry reductions and exact solution. *J. Letters in Mathematical Physics* **2011**, *96* (1–3), 191–207.
21. Dyshaev, M.M. Group analysis of a nonlinear generalization for Black — Scholes equation. *Chelyabinsk Physical and Mathematical Journal* **2016**, *1* (3), 7–14.
22. Dyshaev, M.M.; Fedorov, V.E. Symmetry analysis and exact solutions for a nonlinear model of the financial markets theory. *Mathematical Notes of NEFU* **2016**, *23* (1), 28–45.
23. Fedorov, V.E.; Dyshaev, M.M. Group classification for a general nonlinear model of option pricing. *Ural Mathematical J.* **2016**, *2* (2), 37–44.
24. Dyshaev, M.M.; Fedorov, V.E. Symmetries and exact solutions of a nonlinear pricing options equation. *Ufa Mathematical Journal* **2017**, *9*, 29–40.
25. Fedorov, V.E.; Dyshaev, M.M. Invariant solutions for nonlinear models in illiquid markets. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* **2018**, *41* (18), 8963–8972.
26. Dyshaev, M.M.; Fedorov, V.E. Comparing of some sensitivities (Greeks) for nonlinear models of option pricing with market illiquidity. *Mathematical notes of NEFU* **2019**, *26* (2), 94–108.
27. Fedorov, V.E.; Dyshaev, M.M. Group classification for a class of non-linear models of the RAPM type. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **2021**, *92*, 105471.
28. Guéant, O.; Pu, J. Option pricing and hedging with execution costs and market impact. April 2015. Available via <http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.4342>
29. Guéant, O. *The financial mathematics of market liquidity: from optimal execution to market making*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2016.
30. Yadrikhinskiy, Kh.V.; Fedorov, V.E.; Dyshaev, M.M. Group analysis of the Guéant and Pu model of option pricing and hedging. *Nonlinear Physical Science* **2021**, 173–204. Springer Nature: Singapore, 2021.
31. Ovsiannikov, L.V. The "podmodeli" programm. Gas dynamics. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics* **1994**, *58* (4), 601–627.