# The Hardy Space of Solutions of the Generalized Beltrami System

O. V. Vashchenko and A. P. Soldatov

Belgorod State University, Belgorod, Russia

We consider the first-order system

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = F \tag{1}$$

on the plane, where  $J \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$  is a constant matrix whose eigenvalues lie in the upper half-plane  $\operatorname{Im} \nu > 0$ . In the scalar case l = 1, Eq. (1) with an (in general, continuous) coefficient J(z),  $\operatorname{Im} J > 0$ , is referred to as the Beltrami equation [1, p. 72].

The matrix function

$$E(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} z_J^{-1}$$
 (2)

[here and throughout the following, we use the matrix notation  $z_J = x \times 1 + y \times J$  for  $z = (x + yi) \in \mathbb{C}$ ] is a fundamental solution of the generalized Beltrami system (1). In other words, for any continuously differentiable compactly supported function F(z), the integral

$$(TF)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} (t-z)_J^{-1} F(t) dt_1 dt_2$$
(3)

specifies a classical solution of Eq. (1).

Indeed, TF is a continuously differentiable function, and its derivatives are given by the formulas

$$\frac{\partial(TF)}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} t_J^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} (z+t) dt_1 dt_2, \qquad \frac{\partial(TF)}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} t_J^{-1} \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} (z+t) dt_1 dt_2.$$
(4)

Consider the two-dimensional singular integral

$$(SF)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} t_J^{-2} F(z+t) dt_1 dt_2$$
(5)

treated as the limit of integrals over  $\{|t| \ge \varepsilon\}$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Since

$$\int_{|t|=1}^{} t_J^{-2} ds_t = 0, \tag{6}$$

it follows that the necessary condition for the existence of such integrals is satisfied. To verify relation (6), it is most convenient to use the function

$$\chi(
u) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} (\cos heta + 
u \sin heta)^{-2} d heta,$$

#### VASHCHENKO, SOLDATOV

which is analytic in the upper half-plane Im  $\nu > 0$ . On the one hand, the integral on the left-hand side in relation (6) coincides with the value  $\chi(J)$  of this function on the matrix J. On the other hand, the function  $\chi$  of the matrix and all of its derivatives vanish at the point  $\nu = i$ , whence we have  $\chi(J) = 0$ . Let us rewrite the integral (3) as the limit of integrals over  $\{|t| \ge \varepsilon\}$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Then, in the usual way, we obtain the relations

$$\frac{\partial(TF)}{\partial x} = (SF)(z) + \sigma_1 F(z), \qquad \frac{\partial(TF)}{\partial y} = J(SF)(z) + \sigma_2 F(z), \tag{7}$$

where the coefficients  $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$  are given by the formulas

$$\sigma_k = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{|t|=1} t_J^{-1} n_k ds_t, \quad k=1,2,$$

here  $n = (n_1, n_2)$  stands for the unit inward normal of the cycle |t| = 1, so that

$$\sigma_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos\theta + J\sin\theta)^{-1} \cos\theta \, d\theta, \qquad \sigma_2 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} (\cos\theta + J\sin\theta)^{-1} \sin\theta \, d\theta.$$

One can readily see that

$$\sigma_2 - J\sigma_1 = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} (-\sin\theta + J\cos\theta)(\cos\theta + J\sin\theta)^{-1} d\theta = 1.$$
(8)

Indeed, the left-hand side is the value on J of the function

$$\chi_0(
u) = rac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_0^{2\pi} rac{-\sin heta + 
u\cos heta}{\cos heta + 
u\sin heta} d heta,$$

which is analytic in the half-plane Im  $\nu > 0$ . Simple computations show that  $\chi_0(i) = 1$ ,  $\chi_0^{(k)}(i) = 0$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ , and hence  $\chi_0(\nu) = 1$ .

By combining (7) with (8), we find that the function TF indeed satisfies Eq. (1).

The singular operator (5) belongs to the Calderón–Zygmund type. By [2, p. 52 of the Russian translation], it is bounded in the space  $L^p(\mathbb{C})$ , p > 1; moreover, if  $F \in L^p$ , then the integral exists for almost all z. This, together with (7) and (8), implies the following result.

**Theorem 1.** Let a domain  $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  lie in a finite part of the plane, and let  $F \in L^p(D)$ , p > 1. Then the integral (3) defines a function TF that lies in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,p}(D)$  and whose derivatives are given by (7). Moreover,

$$|TF|_{W^{1,p}(D)} \le C|F|_{L^p(D)},$$
(9)

where C > 0 is a constant depending only on p and D.

**Proof.** The estimates

$$|(TF)_x|_{L^p} + |(TF)_y|_{L^p} \le C|F|_{L^p}$$

form the contents of the Calderón–Zygmund theorem for the singular operator S. The estimate  $|TF|_{L^p(D)} \leq C|F|_{L^p(D)}$  for the integral (3) can readily be derived from the Hölder inequality. These estimates imply (9).

Let D be the domain bounded by a piecewise smooth contour  $\Gamma$ . Consider a sequence of contours  $\Gamma_n \subseteq D, n = 1, 2, \ldots$ , approximating  $\Gamma$  in the following sense: for each n, there exists a piecewise continuous differentiable homeomorphic mapping  $\alpha_n : \Gamma \to \Gamma_n$  such that

$$|\alpha_n(t) - t|_{\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)} + |\alpha'_n - 1|_{\mathbb{C}(\Gamma)} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

In particular, if the contour  $\Gamma_n$  bounds the domain  $D_n$ , then every compact set  $K \subseteq D$  lies in all  $D_n$  for sufficiently large n.

Let  $\phi \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(D)$ ; i.e., this function belongs to the class  $W^{1,p}$  in each domain  $D_n$ , n = 1, 2, ...Then, by the embedding theorem [3], the estimate

$$|\phi|_{L^p(\Gamma_n)} \le C_n |\phi|_{W^{1,p}(D_n)} \tag{11}$$

is valid for each n.

We introduce the following notion. A function  $\phi \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(D)$  belongs to the Hardy class  $H^p_J(D)$  if

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \in L^p(D) \tag{12}$$

$$\sup_{n} |\phi|_{L^{p}(\Gamma_{n})} < \infty.$$
(13)

Note that

$$W^{1,p}(D) \subseteq H^p_J(D). \tag{14}$$

Indeed, in this case, the norm on the right-hand side in the estimate (11) can be computed in  $W^{1,p}(D)$ ; therefore, it is only necessary to show that the constants  $C_n$  occurring in this estimate are uniformly bounded. By using condition (10), one can readily obtain this fact from the proof of the embedding  $W^{1,p} \subseteq L^p(\Gamma)$  (e.g., see [3, p. 420]).

It follows from (14) and Theorem 1 that T is a bounded operator in the spaces  $L^p(D) \to H^p_J(D)$ and each function  $\phi \in H^p$  can be represented in the form

$$\phi = TF + \phi_0, \tag{15}$$

where  $F \in L^p(D)$  and  $\phi_0$  satisfies the homogeneous equation (1). Solutions  $\phi$  of this homogeneous equation (1) were dubbed Douglis analytic functions or, briefly, *J*-analytic functions in [4].

An example of such functions is given by the Cauchy type integral

$$(I\varphi)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (t-z)_J^{-1} dt_J \varphi(t), \quad z \in D,$$
(16)

where  $dt_J$  stands for the matrix differential  $dt_1 + J dt_2$ ,  $t = t_1 + it_2 \in \Gamma$ , and, to be definite, we assume that the contour  $\Gamma$  has the positive sense with respect to D (i.e., the domain D lies to the left when moving along it).

By [5], the integral (16) with a vector function  $\varphi \in L^p(\Gamma)$  defines a function  $I\varphi \in H^p_J(D)$ . More precisely, the following assertion is valid.

**Theorem 2.** (a) The operator I is a bounded operator in the spaces  $L^p(D) \to H^p_J(D)$ .

(b) Let  $\varphi \in L^p(\Gamma)$ , p > 1. Then for the integral, there exist angular limit values  $\phi^+(t_0)$  for almost all  $t_0 \in \Gamma$ , and the Sokhotskii–Plemelj formula

$$\phi^+\left(t_0
ight)=arphi\left(t_0
ight)+rac{1}{\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma}\left(t-t_0
ight)_J^{-1}dt_Jarphi(t)$$

is valid, where the integral on the right-hand side is a singular integral treated in the sense of the Cauchy principal value. In addition,  $|\phi^+|_{L^p} \leq C |\varphi|_{L^p}$ .

#### VASHCHENKO, SOLDATOV

In particular, it follows from Theorem 2 that  $H^p(D)$  equipped with the norm

$$|\phi| = |\phi_y - J\phi_x|_{L^p(D)} + \sup_n |\phi|_{L^p(\Gamma_n)}$$
(17)

is a Banach space. By using Theorem 2, one can readily give the following equivalent description of the space  $H^p$ .

**Theorem 3.** In the class of smooth functions in D, the norm (17) is equivalent to the norm

$$|\phi| = |\phi_y - J\phi_x|_{L^p(D)} + |\phi|_{L^p(\Gamma)}.$$
(18)

**Proof.** By virtue of the expansion (15), it suffices to verify the equivalence of the norms (17) and (18) for J-analytic functions.

By  $C^{+0}(D)$  we denote the class of Hölder continuous functions. If  $\phi$  is a *J*-analytic function in  $C^{+0}(\bar{D})$ , then, by applying Theorem 2 to the Cauchy formula

$$2\phi(z)=rac{1}{\pi i}\int\limits_{\Gamma}(t-z)_{J}^{-1}dt_{J}\phi(t),$$

we obtain the estimate  $|\phi|_{H^p(D)} \leq C |\phi|_{L^p(\Gamma)}$ . Conversely, let  $\phi \in H^p(D) \cap C^{+0}(\overline{D})$ . Then, by (10), the sequence  $\alpha_n$  uniformly converges to  $\phi$  on  $\Gamma$ , whence we obtain  $|\phi|_{L^p(\Gamma)} \leq C_1 |\phi|_{H^p(D)}$ . This implies the desired equivalence of the norms.

Theorem 3, together with its proof, implies that the space  $H^p(D)$  can be obtained as the closure of the class  $C^{1,+0}(\overline{D})$  in the norm (18). In particular, to each function  $\phi \in H^p$ , one can assign its limit value  $\phi^+ \in L^p(\Gamma)$ .

The expansion (16) can be complemented as follows.

**Theorem 4.** Let D be the domain bounded by a simple piecewise Lyapunov contour without return points, and let J be a triangular matrix. Then each function  $\phi \in H^p(D)$  can be uniquely represented in the form

$$\phi = TF + I\varphi + i\xi,\tag{19}$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^l$ ,  $F = \phi_y - J\phi_x$ , and  $\varphi \in L^p(\Gamma)$  is a vector function taking real values.

**Proof.** By replacing  $\phi$  by  $\phi - T\phi$ , without loss of generality, one can assume that F = 0. In this case, it suffices to use the results in [4, 6].

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The work was financially supported by the Program "Universities of Russia" (project no. UR04.01.486).

### REFERENCES

- 1. Vekua, I.N., *Obobshchennye analiticheskie funktsii* (Generalized Analytic Functions), Moscow: Nauka, 1988.
- 2. Stein, I., Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton: Princeton Univ. Press, 1970. Translated under the title Singulyarnye integraly i differentsial'nye svoistva funktsii, Moscow: Mir, 1973.
- 3. Nikol'skii, S.M., *Priblizhenie funktsii mnogikh peremennykh i teoremy vlozheniya* (Approximation of Functions of Several Variables and Embedding Theorems), Moscow: Nauka, 1969.
- 4. Soldatov, A.P., Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 1991, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 1070-1100.
- 5. Soldatov, A.P. and Aleksandrov, A.V., Differ. Uravn., 1991, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 3-8.
- 6. Soldatov, A.P., Izv. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat., 1992, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 566-604.