

RIEMANN–HILBERT PROBLEM FOR FIRST-ORDER ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS WITH CONSTANT LEADING COEFFICIENTS ON THE PLANE

A. P. Soldatov and O. V. Chernova

UDC 517.9

Abstract. In a finite domain D of the complex plane bounded by a smooth contour Γ , we consider the Riemann–Hilbert boundary-value problem $\operatorname{Re} CU^+ = f$ for the first-order elliptic system

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - A \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + a(z)U(z) + b(z)\overline{U(z)} = F(z)$$

with constant leading coefficients. Here $+$ means the boundary value of the function U on Γ , the constant matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ and the $(l \times l)$ -matrix coefficients a and b belong to the Hölder class C^μ , $0 < \mu < 1$, and $(l \times l)$ -matrix function C belongs to the class $C^\mu(\Gamma)$. We prove that in the class $U \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$, this problem is a Fredholm problem and its index is given by the formula

$$\varkappa = - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\pi} [\arg \det G]_{\Gamma_j} + (2 - m)l.$$

Keywords and phrases: elliptic systems, Riemann–Hilbert problem, index formula, Fredholm operator.

AMS Subject Classification: 35Jxx, 58J10, 58J20

In a finite domain D of the complex plane bounded by a smooth contour Γ we consider the first-order elliptic system

$$A_1 \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + A_2 \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + a(z)U(z) + b(z)\overline{U(z)} = F(z), \quad z \in D,$$

where the constant matrices $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ and $(l \times l)$ -matrix coefficients a and b belong to the Hölder class $C^\mu(D)$, $0 < \mu < 1$. The ellipticity condition is as follows: both matrices A_j are invertible and the matrix $A = -A_2^{-1}A_1$ has no real eigenvalues. Multiplying the system by A_2^{-1} and introducing new notation, we can write it in the form

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - A \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + a(z)U(z) + b(z)\overline{U(z)} = F(z). \quad (1)$$

It is convenient to represent the set of eigenvalues of the matrix A as the union $\sigma_1 \cup \overline{\sigma_2}$, where both sets σ_1 and σ_2 lie in the upper half-plane $\operatorname{Im} \nu > 0$ and $\overline{\sigma_2} = \{\bar{\nu}, \nu \in \sigma_2\}$. Then the matrix A can be reduced to the following Jordan form:

$$\tilde{B}^{-1}A\tilde{B} = \tilde{J}, \quad \tilde{J} = \operatorname{diag}(J_1, \overline{J_2}), \quad (2)$$

where $J_k \in \mathbb{C}^{l_k \times l_k}$, $k = 1, 2$, consists of Jordan cells with eigenvalues $\nu \in \sigma_k$. Surely, $l = l_1 + l_2$ and the cases $l_1 = 0$ or $l_2 = 0$, when one of the sets σ_k is empty, are not excluded. Thus, in this representation the eigenvalues of the matrix J_k form the set σ_k . According to (2), we represent the matrix \tilde{B} in the block form

$$\tilde{B} = (B_1, \overline{B_2}), \quad B_k \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l_k}. \quad (3)$$

For a given $(l \times l)$ -matrix-valued function $C \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$, we consider the Riemann–Hilbert boundary-value problem for the system (1):

$$\operatorname{Re} CU^+ = f, \quad (4)$$

Translated from *Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Seriya Sovremennaya Matematika i Ee Prilozheniya. Tematicheskie Obzory*, Vol. 149, Proceedings of the International Conference “Actual Problems of Applied Mathematics and Physics,” Kabardino-Balkaria, Nalchik, May 17–21, 2017, 2018.

where $+$ means the boundary value of the function U on Γ . Assuming that $F \in C^\mu(\overline{D})$ and $f \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$, it is natural to consider this problem in the class of classical solutions $C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ of the system (1).

In the space of right-hand sides $(F, f) \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \times C^\mu(\Gamma)$, we introduce the bilinear form

$$\langle (F, f), (\tilde{F}, \tilde{f}) \rangle = \int_D \operatorname{Re} [F(z)\tilde{F}(z)] d_2z + \int_\Gamma f(t)\tilde{f}(t) d_1t, \quad (5)$$

where d_2z and d_1t mean the area and arclength elements, respectively, and the notation $F\tilde{F}$ for two vectors $F = (F_1, \dots, F_l)$ and $\tilde{F} = (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_l)$ means their scalar product $F_1\tilde{F}_1 + \dots + F_l\tilde{F}_l$; the notation $f\tilde{f}$ has a similar sense.

The main result of this paper consists of establishing a criterion for the Fredholm property of the problem (1), (4) in the class $U \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ and the formula of its index. The Fredholm property is understood in the following sense: the space X of solutions of the homogeneous problem (i.e., problems with zero right-hand sides $F = 0$ and $f = 0$) is finite-dimensional and there exists a finite-dimensional subspace $\tilde{X} \subseteq C^\mu(\overline{D}) \times C^\mu(\Gamma)$ such that the orthogonality conditions

$$\langle (F, f), (\tilde{F}, \tilde{f}) \rangle = 0, \quad (\tilde{F}, \tilde{f}) \in \tilde{X},$$

are necessary and sufficient for the solvability of the inhomogeneous problem. The difference

$$\varkappa = \dim X - \dim \tilde{X}$$

determines the index of the problem.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Gamma \in C^{1,\nu}$ and $C \in C^\nu(\Gamma)$, $\mu < \nu < 1$, so that the matrix-valued function $G(t) = (C(t)B_1, \overline{C(t)B_2})$ (see the notation (3)) belongs to the class $C^\nu(\Gamma)$. Then the condition*

$$\det G(t) \neq 0, \quad t \in \Gamma, \quad (6)$$

holds if and only if the problem (1), (4) be is a Fredholm problem in the class $C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$, and its index is given by the formula

$$\varkappa = - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\pi} [\arg \det G]_{\Gamma_j} + (2 - m)l, \quad (7)$$

where $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ are simple contours composing Γ and the increment $[]_{\Gamma_j}$ along Γ_j is taken in the direction of leaving the domain D to the left.

If, in addition, $C \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$, then any solution $U \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ of the problem with the right-hand side $f \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$ actually belongs to $C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$.

Proof. According to (2), we write the vector-valued function $\tilde{\phi} = \tilde{B}^{-1}U$ as a pair $(\phi_1, \overline{\phi_2})$ with l_k -vectors ϕ_k . After this substitution, (1) turns into the system

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial y} - \tilde{J} \frac{\partial \tilde{\phi}}{\partial x} + \tilde{a}(z)\tilde{\phi}(z) + \tilde{b}(z)\overline{\tilde{\phi}(z)} = \tilde{f}^1(z)$$

with the coefficients $\tilde{a} = \tilde{B}^{-1}a\tilde{B}$ and $\tilde{b} = \tilde{B}^{-1}b\tilde{B}$ and the right-hand side $\tilde{f}^1 = \tilde{B}^{-1}F$. We rewrite it in the componentwise block form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial y} - J_1 \frac{\partial \phi_1}{\partial x} + a_{11}\phi_1 + a_{12}\overline{\phi_2} + b_{11}\overline{\phi_1} + b_{12}\phi_2 &= f_1^1, \\ \frac{\partial \overline{\phi_2}}{\partial y} - \overline{J_2} \frac{\partial \overline{\phi_2}}{\partial x} + a_{21}\overline{\phi_1} + a_{22}\phi_2 + b_{21}\phi_1 + b_{22}\overline{\phi_2} &= \overline{f_2^1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(a_{ij}) = \tilde{a}$ and $(b_{ij}) = \tilde{b}$ are block matrices and $(f_1^1, \overline{f_2^1}) = \tilde{f}^1$. Replacing the second equation of this system by its complex conjugate, we obtain the following system for the vector $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2)$:

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + c\phi + d\overline{\phi} = f^1, \quad (8)$$

where $J = \text{diag}(J_1, J_2)$ is a block-diagonal matrix, the right-hand side $f^1 = (f_1^1, f_2^1) \in C^\mu(\overline{D})$, and the coefficients have the form

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad d = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in C^\mu(\overline{D}).$$

Since $U = \tilde{B}\tilde{\phi} = B_1\phi_1 + \overline{B_2}\overline{\phi_2}$, after this substitution the boundary condition (4) takes the form

$$\text{Re } G\phi^+ = f^0, \quad (9)$$

where for uniformity with (8) we denote the function f by f^0 .

The problem (8), (9) for this system (under the assumption that Γ is a simple contour) is studied in [10]. The corresponding arguments of that paper with minor changes are also suitable for the considered case of a composite contour. Keeping in mind the smoothness of solutions to the problem, we briefly recall these arguments.

Using the matrix notation $z_J = x \cdot 1 + y \cdot J$, for $z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}$, we introduce the following Cauchy-type integral operator:

$$(I^0\varphi)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (t-z)_J^{-1} dt_J \varphi(t), \quad z \in D,$$

where $t = t_1 + it_2$ is a point on the contour Γ , which is oriented positively with respect to D , dt_J denotes the complex matrix differential $dt_1 1 + dt_2 J$, and the singular Cauchy operator

$$(S^0\varphi)(t_0) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} (t-t_0)_J^{-1} dt_J \varphi(t), \quad t_0 \in \Gamma,$$

where $\varphi \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$ is a real l -vector-valued function.

Following [7], we say that the function $\phi = I^0\psi$ is J -analytic in the domain D , i.e., it satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = 0. \quad (10)$$

For $J = i$, this system becomes the classical Cauchy–Riemann system. As was shown in [7], all basic facts of the theory of analytic functions associated with the integral Cauchy formula can be extended to solutions of the system (14).

According to [6], the integral operator $I^0 : C^\mu(\Gamma) \rightarrow C^\mu(\overline{D})$ is bounded and the following Sokhotsk–Plemelj formula is valid:

$$2(I^0\varphi)^+(t_0) = \varphi(t_0) + (S^0\varphi)(t_0), \quad t_0 \in \Gamma. \quad (11)$$

Obviously, in the case of the scalar matrix $J = i$, the operator S^0 becomes a classical singular Cauchy operator, which we denote by S . As was shown in [6], under the assumption $\Gamma \in C^{1,\nu}$, the difference $S^0 - S$ is a compact operator in the space $C^\mu(\Gamma)$, and all principal results of the classical theory of singular operators (see [4]) can also be applied to the operator

$$2N^0\varphi = \text{Re } [G(\varphi + S^0\varphi)] \quad (12)$$

acting in the space of real l -vector-valued functions $\varphi \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$.

Thus, this operator is a Fredholm operator if and only if the condition (6) is satisfied, and its index is given by the formula

$$\text{ind } N^0 = -\frac{1}{\pi} [\arg \det G] \Big|_{\Gamma}. \quad (13)$$

Now we introduce integral operators in the domain

$$(I^1\varphi)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_D (t-z)_J^{-1} \varphi(t) d_2t, \quad z \in D,$$

$$(S^1\varphi)(z) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_D (t-z)_J^{-2} \varphi(t) d_2t, \quad z \in D.$$

The last integral is singular and is understood in the corresponding sense. It is easy to verify the following necessary condition for the existence of this integral:

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \xi_J^{-2} d_1\xi = 0,$$

where \mathbb{T} is the unit circle. We note that, due to the evenness of the function ξ_J^{-2} , the relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^+} \xi_J^{-2} d_1\xi = 0 \quad (14)$$

also holds, where \mathbb{T}^+ means any semicircle.

As was shown in [11], for $\varphi \in C^\mu(\overline{D})$ the function $I^1\varphi$ is continuously differentiable in the domain D and the following formulas are valid:

$$\frac{\partial(I^1\varphi)}{\partial x} = \sigma_1\varphi + S^1\varphi, \quad \frac{\partial(I^1\varphi)}{\partial y} = \sigma_2\varphi + JS^1\varphi, \quad (15)$$

where $\sigma_k \in \mathbb{C}^{l \times l}$ are certain matrices related by the equation $\sigma_2 = J\sigma_1$. In particular,

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) I^1\varphi = 0. \quad (16)$$

By (14), we can apply [9, Theorem 3.5.1] to the singular integral operator S^1 . According to this theorem, this operator is bounded in $C^\mu(\overline{D})$. Taking into account (15), we conclude that the operator $I^1 : C^\mu(\overline{D}) \rightarrow C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$ is bounded.

We consider the functional class

$$\phi \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D), \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \phi \in C^\mu(\overline{D}). \quad (17)$$

Obviously, any solution $\phi \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ of Eq. (8) automatically belongs to this class.

For definiteness, we assume that the contour Γ_m encircles the remaining contours $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_{m-1}$. Then any function $\phi \in C^\mu(\overline{D})$ can be uniquely represented in the form

$$\phi = I^1\varphi^1 + I^0\varphi^0 + i\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^l, \quad (18)$$

with some complex l -vector-valued function $\varphi^0 \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$ and a real vector-valued function $\varphi^0 \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$ satisfying the conditions

$$\int_{\Gamma_j} \varphi(t) d_1t = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1. \quad (19)$$

In fact, we assume

$$\varphi^1 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \phi$$

and let $\phi^0 = \phi - I^1\varphi^1$. Then, due to (16), the function ϕ^0 is J -analytic in the domain D , i.e., it satisfies Eq. (10) and belongs to the class $C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$. Therefore, the problem is reduced to the representation

$$\phi^0 = I^0\varphi^0 + i\xi, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^l,$$

under the conditions (19) on the real density φ , which is established in [6] (see also [8]).

Using the representation (18) and the Sokhotski–Plemelj formula (11), we can reduce the problem (8), (9) to the following equivalent system of operator equations:

$$N^1\varphi^1 + N^{10}\varphi^0 + i(c-d)\xi = f^1, \quad N^{01}\varphi^1 + N^0\varphi^0 - (\operatorname{Im} G)\xi = f^0, \quad (20)$$

where, in addition to (12), for brevity we introduce the notation

$$\begin{aligned} N^1\varphi^1 &= \varphi^1 + c(I^1\varphi^1) + d(\overline{I^1\varphi^1}), \\ N^{10}\varphi^0 &= c(I^1\varphi^0) + d(\overline{I^1\varphi^0}), \quad N^{01}\varphi^1 = \operatorname{Re} G(I^1\varphi^1)^+. \end{aligned}$$

This system is considered with respect to the set $(\varphi^1, \varphi^0, \xi)$, subject to the conditions (19). We can write it briefly using the notation $\varphi = (\varphi^1, \varphi^0)$:

$$N\varphi + T\xi = f \quad (21)$$

with the right-hand side $f = (f^1, f^0)$ and the operator matrices

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} N^1 & N^{10} \\ N^{01} & N^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} ic - id \\ -\operatorname{Im} G \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, the space $C^\mu(\overline{D}) \times C^\mu(\Gamma) \times \mathbb{R}^l$ is an extension of the space $C^\mu(\overline{D}) \times C^\mu(\Gamma)$ to l dimensions; therefore, based on well-known properties of Fredholm operators (see [5]), we conclude that the operators (N, T) and N are Fredholm equivalent and their indices are related by the formula

$$\operatorname{ind}(N, T) = \operatorname{ind} N + l. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, the condition (19) determines a closed subspace of codimension $l(m-1)$ in the space $C^\mu(\Gamma)$; therefore, from the same considerations, the index \varkappa of the system (19), (20) is related to the index of the operator (N, T) by the formula

$$\varkappa = \operatorname{ind}(N, T) - l(m-1). \quad (23)$$

Let us consider in detail the operators appeared in (20). We will write $N_1 \sim N_2$ if the difference $N_1 - N_2$ is a compact operator. Recall that the operator I^1 is compact in $C^\mu(\overline{D})$; then we can write $N^1 \sim 1$, $N^{01} \sim 0$, and consequently,

$$N \sim M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & N^{1,0} \\ 0 & N^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

Assume that the condition (6) is satisfied. Then, as was noted above, the operator N^0 is a Fredholm operator and its index is given by the formula (13). In particular, there exists its regularizer, i.e. an operator R^0 in $C^\mu(\Gamma)$ possessing the property $R^0 N^0 \sim N^0 R^0 \sim 1$. One can directly verify that the operator

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -N^{1,0}R^0 \\ 0 & R^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a regularizer of the operator M and hence the operator M is a Fredholm operator. This implies that the operator N is a Fredholm operator and hence the initial problem (1), (4) is a Fredholm problem.

Conversely, let the problem (1), (4) be a Fredholm problem such that N and hence M are Fredholm operators. Let R be the regularizer written in the block form:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} R^1 & R^{10} \\ R^{01} & R^0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it follows directly from the relations $MR \sim MR \sim 1$ that $N^0 R^0 \sim R^0 N^0 \sim 1$, so that N^0 is a Fredholm operator. As was noted above, this implies the condition (6).

In order to prove the formula (7) for the index, we introduce the operator $M(t)$ depending on the parameter $0 \leq t \leq 1$, which is obtained by replacing of N^{10} by tN^{10} in the definition (24) of the

operator M . The same arguments show that $M(t)$ is also a Fredholm operator. Since it depends on t continuously, its index is independent of t and, in particular,

$$\text{ind } M = \text{ind } M(0) = \text{ind } N^0.$$

Hence $\text{ind } N = \text{ind } N^0$, which together with (13), (22), and (23) completes the proof of the index formula (7).

Now we turn to the last assertion of the theorem and assume that the functions C and hence G also belong to $C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$. Then for $f^0 \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$, the terms $N^{01}\varphi^1$ and $(\text{Im } G)\xi$ in the second equation (20) also belong to $C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$. Thus, the function $\varphi^0 \in C^\mu(\Gamma)$ satisfies the equation $N^0\varphi^0 = g$ with the right-hand side $g \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$. As was shown in [1], in this case $\varphi^0 \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$. According to the differentiation formula for the Cauchy-type integral $\phi = I^0\varphi$ (see [9]), the function $I^0\varphi^0$ belongs to $C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$, so that the function ϕ in the representation (18) belongs to this class, and hence the solution $U = B_1\phi_1 + B_2\phi_2$ of the original problem (1), (4) also belongs to this class. \square

Note that due to the last assertion of the theorem, the problem (1), (4) is a Fredholm problem in the class $C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$ with the same index.

The case of the elliptic system

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial y} - A \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + a(z)U(z) = F(z) \quad (25)$$

with real coefficients $A \in \mathbb{R}^{2l \times 2l}$ and $a(z)$ can be considered similarly. In this case, eigenvalues of the matrix A form the set $\sigma \cup \overline{\sigma}$, where $\sigma \subseteq \{\nu, \text{Im } \nu > 0\}$. Therefore, the relations (2) and (3) take the form

$$\tilde{B}^{-1}A\tilde{B} = \tilde{J}, \quad \tilde{J} = \text{diag}(J, \overline{J}), \quad \tilde{B} = (B, \overline{B}), \quad B \in \mathbb{C}^{2l \times l}.$$

Here the boundary condition

$$CU^+ = f \quad (26)$$

with a $(l \times 2l)$ -matrix $C(t)$, $t \in \Gamma$, is an analog of the Riemann–Hilbert problem; respectively, the following assertion (with the same proof) is an analog of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let $\Gamma \in C^{1,\nu}$ and an $(l \times 2l)$ -matrix C belong to the class $C^\nu(\Gamma)$, $\mu < \nu < 1$, so that we have $G(t) = C(t)B \in C^\nu(\Gamma)$ (see the notation (3)). The problem (25), (26) is a Fredholm problem in the class $C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ if and only if the condition (6) holds, and its index is given by the formula (7).*

If, in addition, $C \in C^{1,\nu}(\Gamma)$, then any solution $U \in C^\mu(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D)$ of the problem with the right-hand side $f \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$ actually belongs to $C^{1,\mu}(\overline{D})$.

We note that in a few more general classes, Theorem 2 was established by B. Bojarski in [2] (see also [3]).

Up to now, the domain D has been assumed to be finite, i.e., lying inside a certain circle. Now we consider the case where the domain D is still bounded by a contour $\Gamma \in C^{1,\nu}$ but is infinite, i.e., it contains the outer domain of a certain circle. In this case, all simple contours Γ_j , $1 \leq j \leq m$, which form the contour Γ , are equivalent. For simplicity, we assume that the point $z = 0$ lies outside \overline{D} .

We perform our considerations in the weighted Hölder space $C_\delta^\mu(\overline{D}, \infty)$, $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, with power behavior $O(|z|^\delta)$ at infinity (see [9]). We briefly recall its definition. The space $C_0^\mu(\overline{D}, \infty)$ consists of all bounded functions $\varphi(z)$, $z \in D$ with finite norm $|\varphi| = |\varphi|_0 + \{\varphi\}_\mu$, where

$$|\varphi|_0 = \sup_{z \in D} |\varphi(z)|, \quad \{\varphi\}_\mu = \sup_{z_1 \neq z_2} \frac{|z_1|^\mu |\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)|}{|z_1 - z_2|^\mu}.$$

This space is a Banach algebra with respect to multiplication, and the weighted space C_δ^μ is obtained from C_0^μ by multiplying its elements by $|z|^\delta$ (with the transferred norm). By definition, the

space $C_{\delta}^{1,\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$ consists of functions $\varphi \in C_{\delta}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \cap C^1(D)$ whose partial derivatives belong to the class $C_{\delta-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \in C_{\delta-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty).$$

We consider Eq. (1) in the infinite domain D in the class

$$C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \cap C^1(D), \quad -1 < \lambda < 0, \quad (27)$$

assuming that

$$a, b \in C_{-1-\varepsilon}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty), \quad F \in C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \quad (28)$$

with some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Consider the operators I^0 and I^1 introduced above. In the case considered, the function $(I^0\varphi)(z)$ has the following expansion in a neighborhood of ∞ :

$$(I^0\varphi)(z) = \sum_{k \leq -1} c_k z^k, \quad c_k = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} t_J^{-k-1} dt_j \varphi(t),$$

and since $-1 < \lambda < 0$, the operator $I^0 H C^{\mu}(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$ is bounded. We state the corresponding properties of the operator I^1 .

Theorem 3. *The operator I^1 considered as an operator $C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \rightarrow C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$ is bounded and being considered as an operator $C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \rightarrow C_{\lambda+\varepsilon}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, it is compact. Moreover, any function ϕ of the class*

$$\phi \in C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\overline{D}) \cap C^1(D), \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - J \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \phi \in C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}),$$

can be uniquely represented in the form

$$\phi = I^0 \varphi^0 + I^1 \varphi^1$$

with a real vector-valued function $\varphi^0 \in C^{\mu}(\Gamma)$ satisfying the condition

$$\int_{\Gamma_j} \varphi(t) d_1 t = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

and a complex vector-valued function $\varphi^1 \in C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$.

Proof. The first statement of the theorem on the boundedness of the operator $I^1 : C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty) \rightarrow C_{\lambda}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$ is established similarly to [9]. It was also established in [9] that the singular operator S^1 is bounded in the space $C_{\lambda-1}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$. Hence, taking into account (16) and the compactness property of the embedding mentioned in [9],

$$C_{\delta-\varepsilon}^{\mu+\varepsilon}(\overline{D}, \infty) \subseteq C_{\delta}^{\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty), \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

we conclude that the operator I^1 is compact.

The second part of the theorem is established similarly to the case of a finite domain. \square

Using Theorem 3 and following the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1, we arrive at the validity of the following assertion.

Theorem 4. *Assume that a contour Γ belongs to the class $C^{1,\nu}$, C belongs to the class $C^{\nu}(\Gamma)$, $\mu < \nu < 1$, and $G = (CB_1, \overline{CB_2})$. Then under the assumption (28), the problem (1), (4) is a Fredholm problem in the class (27) if and only if the condition (6) holds. Moreover, its index is given by the formula*

$$\varkappa = - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\pi} [\arg \det G]_{\Gamma_j} - ml.$$

If, in addition, $C \in C^{1,\nu}(\Gamma)$, then any solution U of the problem of this class with right-hand side $f \in C^{1,\mu}(\Gamma)$ in fact belongs to $C_{\lambda}^{1,\mu}(\overline{D}, \infty)$.

An analog of Theorem 2 for the system (1) with real coefficients can be formulated similarly.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (project No. 1.7311.2017/BCh).

REFERENCES

1. E. A. Abapolova and A. P. Soldatov, "On the theory of singular integral equations on a smooth contour," *Nauchn. Vedom. Belgorod. Univ.*, No. 5 (76), issue 18, 6–20 (2010).
2. B. V. Bojarski, "Theory of generalized analytic vectors," *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **17**, No. 3, 281–320 (1965).
3. R. P. Gilbert and J. L. Buchanan, *First-Order Elliptic Systems: A Function Theoretic Approach*, Academic Press, New York (1983).
4. N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Singular Integral Equations* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1968).
5. R. S. Palais, *Seminar on the Atiyah–Singer Index Theorem*, Ann. Math. Stud., **57**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey (1965).
6. A. P. Soldatov, "A function theory method in boundary-value problems in the plane. I. The smooth case," *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.*, **55**, No. 5, 1070–1100 (1991).
7. A. P. Soldatov, "Hyperanalytic functions and their applications," *J. Math. Sci.*, **132**, No. 6, 827–882 (2006).
8. A. P. Soldatov, "The Schwarz problem for Douglis analytic functions," *J. Math. Sci.*, **173**, No. 2, 221–224 (2011).
9. A. P. Soldatov, "Singular integral operators and elliptic boundary-value problems. I," *Sovr. Mat. Fundam. Napr.*, **63**, No. 1, 1–189 (2017).
10. A. P. Soldatov and O. V. Chernova, "The Riemann–Hilbert problem for a first-order elliptic system in Hölder classes," *Nauch. Vedom. Belgorod. Univ.*, No. 13 (68), issue 17/2, 115–121 (2009).
11. O. V. Vashchenko and A. P. Soldatov, "Integral representation of solutions of the generalized Beltrami system," *Nauchn. Vedom. Belgorod. Univ. Ser. Inform. Prikl. Mat.*, No. 6, issue 1 (21), 3–6 (2006).

A. P. Soldatov
Federal Research Center "Information and Management,"
Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
E-mail: soldatov48@gmail.com
O. V. Chernova
Belgorod National Research University, Belgorod, Russia
E-mail: volga@mail.ru