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#### Abstract

We study Fredholm properties for a special class of elliptic pseudo-differential operators. Using a local principle we give boundedness theorems for such operators and describe their Fredholm properties in Sobolev-Slobodetskii spaces of a variable order. For a half-space case we introduce a certain operator family which helps describing Fredholm properties.


## INTRODUCTION

Pseudo-differential operators and equations were born in past century (see, for example, [1-3]) and now this theory lives and develops. In this paper we would like to enlarge class of spaces of spaces in which such pseudo-differential operators can act, and describe their Fredholm properties in these spaces. We use spaces of variable order and consider also pseudo-differential operators of variable order. First similar constructions were introduced in [4] (and further there were some generalizations and refinements), but our considerations contain new results related to boundedness and Fredholm properties and based on a local principle. Moreover we study a family of spaces of a variable order and intend to apply this methodology to appearing boundary value problems. Also we hope these consideration will be useful for more complicated situations when we deal with a cone instead of a half-space [5].

## Local Sobolev-Slobodetskii Spaces

Let $s: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary function satisfying the following conditions:

- the finite limit $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} s(x)$ exists,
- the function $s(x)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, i. e. there is a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\left|s\left(x_{1}\right)-s\left(x_{2}\right)\right| \leq d x_{1}-x_{2} \mid, \quad \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} .
$$

For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ we introduce the following definition.
Definition 1 By definition a local Sobolev-Slobodetskii space $H^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ consists of distributions with finite value

$$
\|u\|_{s(x)} \equiv\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\omega}}(1+|\xi|)^{2 s(x)}|\tilde{u}(\xi)|^{2} d \xi\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

where ì denotes the Fourier transform of the function $u$.
The value $\|u\|_{s_{(x)}}$ is called a local $H^{5}$ norm of the function $u$.
For a brevity we will write $H^{s(x)}$ instead of $H^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$, and if we speak on $H^{s(x)}$-functions with supports in a certain domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ then we write $H^{s(x)}(D)$.

Definition 2 The universal Sobolev-Slobodetskii super-space $H^{S_{M}}$ is called the space which includes all local Sobolev-Slobodetskii spaces with finite norm

$$
\|u\|\left\|_{s_{M}}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}\right\| u\left\|\|_{s(x)} .\right.
$$

The universal Sobolev-Slobodetskii subspace $H^{s_{m}}$ is called the space which is inside of all local SobolevSlobodetskii spaces with finite norm

$$
\|u\|_{s_{m}}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}\|u\|_{s(x)} .
$$

Obviously,

$$
\|u\|_{s_{m}} \leq\|u\|_{s(x)} \leq\|u\|_{s_{M}}, \quad \forall X \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

Let us denote $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ the Schwartz class of infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing at infinity functions; this class is dense in each local Sobolev-Slobodetskii space [3].
Lemma $1 \quad$ For $u \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ we have estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|(1+|\xi|)^{s_{1}}-(1+|\xi|)^{s_{2}}\right| \leq c_{1}\left|s_{1}-s_{2}\right| \cdot(1+|\xi|)^{I} \\
\left|\|u\|_{s\left(x_{1}\right)}-\|u\|_{s\left(x_{2}\right)}\right| \leq c_{2}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right| \cdot\|u\|_{s_{M}}
\end{gathered}
$$

for a certain $1 \in\left[s_{1}, s_{2}\right]$.
Let $H_{X}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces parametrized by points $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. We denote $\|u\|_{X}$ the norm of element $u \in H^{s(x)}$ and assume that $S$ is a dense subset in $H^{s(x)}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. For $u \in S$ we define the functional

$$
f(x, u)=\|u\|_{X}
$$

Definition 3 We say that a family of Hilbert spaces $\left\{H_{x}\right\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}$ is a local continuous family in the point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ if for fixed $u \in S$ the functional $f(x, u)$ is continuous in the point $x_{0}$.
Lemma 2 The family $H^{s(x)}$ is a local continuous family.

## OPERATORS OF A VARIABLE ORDER

Definition 4 Given function $A(x, \xi)$ defined in $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$ a pseudo-differential operator $A$ is called an operator of the following type

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} A(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y) \xi} u(y) d y d \xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $A(x, \xi)$ is called a symbol of the operator $A$.
Let $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with the same properties as $s(x)$.
Definition 5 The class $E_{\alpha(x)}$ consists of functions $A(x, \xi)$ defined in $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha(x)} \leq|A(x, \xi)| \leq c_{2}(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha(x)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for each point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ there exists a neighborhood $U_{x_{0}}$ such that for all $x \in U_{x_{0}}$ the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A(x, \xi)-A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)\right| \leq c_{3}\left|x-x_{0}\right|(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha(x)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, where $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are positive constants. The function $\alpha: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a variable order of a pseudodifferential operator (symbol).

If $x_{0}$ is an infinity then we need to require satisfying the following inequality

$$
|A(x, \xi)-A(\infty, \xi)| \leq c_{4}|x|^{-1}(1+|\xi|)^{\alpha(x)}
$$

instead of the inequality (3).
Example. A very simple example is so called fractional Laplacian of a variable order. Its symbol is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(x, \xi)=\left(1+\xi_{1}^{2}+\xi_{2}^{2}+\cdots+\xi_{m}^{2}\right)^{\alpha(x)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

All conditions mentioned above are satisfied.

## Boundedness Theorems

If we fix $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ then the operator $A_{x_{0}}$ is an operator with the symbol $A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)$.
Lemma 3 If $A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right) \in E_{\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)}$ then the operator $A_{x_{0}}$ is bounded in local Sobolev-Slobodetskii space, $A_{x_{0}}: H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow$ $H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)}$.

This property is called a local boundedness.
Definition 6 An operator $A$ with the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ is called a local bounded operator if for each point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ the operator $A_{x_{0}}: H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)}$ with the symbol $A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)$ is bounded.

Theorem 4 If $A(x, \xi) \in E_{\alpha(x)}$ then the function $x_{0} \longmapsto\left\|A_{x_{0}}\right\|_{s\left(x_{0}\right)}$ is continuous at each point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ including the infinity.

Remark 1 It means the property of a local boundedness is conserved in enough small neighborhood.
Theorem 5 If an operator $A$ with the symboI $A(x, \xi)$ is local bounded then it is bounded $A: H^{S_{M}} \rightarrow H^{(s-\alpha)_{m}}$.
Remark 2 It seems it is enough to require a local boundedness on a certain countable set including the infinity.

$$
\text { Compact Operators in Spaces } H^{s(x)}
$$

Let $\left\{T_{X}: H^{s_{1}(x)} \rightarrow H^{s_{2}(x)}\right\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}}$ be a family of linear bounded operators.
Lemma 6 If the linear bounded operator $T_{x_{0}}: H^{s_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow H^{s_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}$ is compact then there is a neighborhood $U_{x_{0}}$ of the point $x_{0}$ such that for all $x_{1} \in U_{x_{0}}$ the operator $T_{x_{1}}: H^{s_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)} \rightarrow H^{s_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)}$ is compact.

Let $\psi_{x_{0}}(x) \in C_{0}^{-\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ be a function equals to 1 in some neighborhood of the point $x_{0}$.
Corollary 7 An operator with the symbol $\psi_{x_{0}}(x) A(x, \xi)-A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)$ is compact in some neighborhood of the point $x_{0}$ as operator $H^{s(x)} \rightarrow H^{s(x)-\alpha(x)}$.

## Fredholmness

Definition $7 \quad$ An operator $A_{x_{0}}$ with the symbol $A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right)\left(x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right.$ is fixed) we call the local representative of the operator $A$ in the point $x_{0}$.

Theorem $8 \quad$ If local representatives $A_{x_{0}}: H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)} \rightarrow H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)}$ of the operator $A$ are invertible at each point of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ including the infinity then the operator $A: H^{S_{M}} \rightarrow H^{(s-\alpha)_{m}}$ has a Fredholm property.

Remark 3 It is enough to require an invertibility for the local representatives in a certain dense set .

## A HALF-SPACE CASE

Definition $8 \quad$ Let $A(x, \xi)$ be a function defined in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. A pseudo-differential operatorA in a half-space with the symbol $A(x, \xi)$ is called an operator of the following type

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} A(x, \xi) e^{(x-y) \xi} u(y) d \xi\right) d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the following equation in a half-space

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(x)=v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

related to such an operator.

According to [3] we introduce the space $H^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$ of functions from $H^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with support in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}}$, this is space of solutions, and the space $I_{0}^{P(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$ of functions (distributions) from $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with support in $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}}$, these must admit analytical continuation in a whole $H^{s(x)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ with finite norm

$$
\|V\|_{s(x)}^{+}=\inf \|\ell\|_{s(x)}
$$

where infimum is taken over all continuations $\ell$.
For studying a Fredholmness of the equation (6) we use a local principle. We extract two types of local representatives.

1) For inner point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}$ this is well-known operator

$$
\left(A_{x_{0}} u\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} A\left(x_{0}, \xi\right) e^{i(x-y) \xi} u(y) d y d \xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

2) For boundary point $x_{0}^{\prime}=\left(x_{0}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ (we use notation $x_{0}=\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{m}^{(0)}\right)$ for the $\left.x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ it will be the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{x_{0}} u\right)(x) \longmapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} A\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi\right) e^{i(x-y) \xi} u(y) d \xi\right) d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have two operator families.
Theorem $9 \quad$ If all operators of the family $A_{x_{0}^{\prime}}: H^{s\left(x^{x}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{s\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$ are bounded then the operator (5) is bounded as operator $H^{S_{M}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)} \rightarrow H^{(s-\alpha)_{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$.

If all operators of two operator family are invertible then the operator (5) is Fredholm operator as operator $H^{S M}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{(s-\alpha)_{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m I}\right)$.

## Variable Index of Factorization

This is key point for studying pseudo-differential equations in a half-space. We will give a corresponding definition and show its applicability to the equation (7) with fixed $x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$.

Definition 9 Factorization of the symbol $A\left(x^{\prime}, \xi\right)$ on a variable $\xi_{m}$ is called its representation in the form

$$
A\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{m}\right)=A_{+}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{m}\right) A_{-}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{m}\right)
$$

where the factors $A_{+}\left(A_{-}\right)$admit analytical continuation on $\xi_{m}$ in upper (lower) complex half-plane $\xi_{m} \pm i \tau, \tau>0$, under almost all $\xi^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ and satisfy estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|A_{+}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \leq c_{1}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|+\left|\xi_{m}\right|+|\tau|\right)^{x\left(x^{\prime}\right)}, \\
\left|A_{-}\left(x^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{m}\right)\right| \leq c_{1}\left(1+\left|\xi^{\prime}\right|+\left|\xi_{m}\right|+|\tau|\right)^{\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)-x\left(x^{\prime}\right)}, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The function $æ\left(x^{\prime}\right), x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, is called a variable index of factorization.
Let us note that such factorization can be constructed effectively with a help of the Cauchy type integral [3]. Below we will assume that the function $æ\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ has the same properties as $s\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

## A Local Solvability and Boundary Conditions

Now there is a question how one can use the theorem 9. In other words we need conditions which guarantee an invertibility for local representatives of boundary operator.

Theorem 10 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|æ\left(x^{\prime}\right)-s\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|<1 / 2, \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the operator (7) is invertible as an operator $H^{s\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{s\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\alpha\left(x^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$ under each fixed $X^{\prime}$.

Collecting the theorems 9,10 we obtain a Fredholm property for pseudo-differential operator (equation) in a half-space.

Theorem $11 \operatorname{Let} A(x, \xi) \in E_{\alpha(x)}$. If

$$
\left|æ\left(x^{\prime}\right)-s\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|<1 / 2, \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}, \quad \forall X^{\prime} \in R^{m-1},
$$

then the operator (5) has a Fredholm property as an operator $H^{S_{M}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{(s-\alpha)_{m}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$.
There are situations for which the condition (8) does not hold. We consider here one of possible variants.
Let $æ\left(x^{\prime}\right)-s\left(x^{\prime}\right)=m+\delta,|\delta|<1 / 2, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. Now for fixed $x^{\prime}$ even the operator (7) is non-invertible, but we know a general solution of corresponding equation [3]. We will briefly describe its form to explain appearing boundary operators.

Thus, we consider an equation with the operator (7) and right-hand side $f \in H_{0}^{s\left(x_{0}\right)-\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$.
We will remind that for fixed $x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ a general solution of the equation

$$
\left(A_{X_{0}} u\right)(x)=f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}
$$

with the operator (7) in Fourier image has the following form [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}(\xi)=A_{+}^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi\right) P_{m}(\xi) \Pi_{+} P_{m}^{-1}(\xi) A_{-}^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi\right) \widetilde{\ell f}(\xi)+A_{+}^{-1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{c}_{k}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right) \xi_{m}^{k-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $\Pi_{+}$is the Cauchy type integral

$$
\left(\Pi_{+} \tilde{u}\right)(\xi)=\frac{i}{2 \pi} \lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\tilde{u}\left(\xi^{\prime} \cdot \eta_{m}\right) d \eta_{m}}{\xi_{m}-\eta_{m}+i \tau} d d \eta_{m}
$$

$P_{m}(\xi) \in E_{m}$ is an arbitrary polynomial, $c_{k}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}\right)$ are arbitrary functions from $H^{s_{k}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}\right), s_{k}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-æ\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)+$ $k-1 / 2, k=1, \cdots, m$.

To determine uniquely $c_{k}$ we choose $m$ bounded pseudo-differential operators $B_{j}: H^{s\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\alpha_{j}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right)$ with symbols $B_{j}\left(x_{0}, \xi\right), j=1, \cdots, m$, and $\gamma$ is a restriction operator on the hyperplane $x_{m}=0$ so that operators $\gamma B_{j}$ are bounded $H^{s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\alpha_{j}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}\right)$ under the condition $s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-\alpha_{j}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-1 / 2>0, \forall x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. Let us introduce a new local sobolev-Slobodetskii space as a direct sum

$$
H^{s_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)} \equiv H^{s\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \oplus \sum_{j=1}^{m} H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)-\alpha_{f}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m-1}\right)
$$

For the point $x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ we introduce a local operator $H^{s\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{m}\right) \rightarrow H^{s_{\alpha}\left(x_{0}\right)}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{X_{0}} u=\left(A_{X_{0}^{\prime}} u, \gamma B_{1} u, \gamma B_{1} u \cdots, \gamma B_{m} u\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the operator $B_{X_{0}}$ is given by pasting $m$ additional operators together with a family of additional spaces to the operator $A_{x_{0}}$ at each point $x_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$.

We can conclude as above that under our assumptions all such operators are locally bounded, and it implies a boundedness of the (10).

One can study an invertibility of the local operator (10) by the Fourier transform, it permits to reduce an identification problem for the functions $c_{k}$ to unique solvability of some $m \times m$-system of linear algebraic equations. Non-vanishing for a determinant of the latter system is necessary and sufficient condition for an invertibility of the local operator $B_{x_{0}}$.

Remark 4 The left case $æ\left(x^{\prime}\right)-s\left(x^{\prime}\right)=-m+\delta,|\delta|<1 / 2, m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$, candt considered analogously. For this case we extend the domain of $A_{X^{\prime}}$, and we past to the domain additional local Sobolev-Slobodetskii spaces with additional unknowns in these spaces.

## CONCLUSION

It seems a lot of results obtained by a local principle can be transferred on operators and equations of a variable order. In our opinion more interesting case is studying such operators and equations on manifolds with non-smooth boundaries like [5-9].
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